

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF JUNE 2015/13TH JYASHTA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 15755 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER(S) :-

M.KRISHNAN, AGED 46 YEARS
S/O.KANNAN, MOYACHERRY VEEDU, KOTTAKKAL P.O.
IRINGAL, KOYILANDY TALUK, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.S.SREEDHARAN PILLAI
SRI.T.K.SANDEEP
SRI.ARJUN SREEDHAR
SRI.ARUN KRISHNA DHAN
SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE MULLAKKARIYIL

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. MANOJ, CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VADAKARA POLICE STATION, KOZHIKODE-673 101.
2. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-01.
3. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
KOZHIKODE RURAL, VADAKARA-673 101.
4. POLICE COMPLAINTS CELL AUTHORITY, KOZHIKODE-673 101.
5. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-01.
6. VIBESH, AGED 30 YEARS, S/O.BALAKRISHNAN,
THATTANKANDIYIL VEEDU, PUTHUPANAM P.O.,
VADAKARA, VADAKARA TALUK, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT-673 101.
7. SHIBINA, W/O.VIBESH, THATTANKANDIYIL VEEDU,
PUTHUPANAM P.O., VADAKARA, VADAKARA TALUK,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN-673101.

R2 to R5 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 15755 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE PETITIONER
AGAINST R7 IN CC 510/2014 ON THE FILES OF JFCM II, VADAKARA AND
TRANSLATION.

EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.2-5-2015 SENT TO R2
IS PRODUCED BY THE PETITIONER AND TRANSLATION.

EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.2-5-2015 SENT TO R3
IS PRODUCED BY THE PETITIONER AND TRANSLATION.

EXT.P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.2-5-2015 SENT TO R4
IS PRODUCED BY THE PETITIONER AND TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ
&
A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J**

W.P(C).No. 15755 of 2015

Dated this the 3rd June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, CJ.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader. No notice is being issued to the private respondents, in view of the order, which is being passed.

2. The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition alleging Police harassment. The petitioner's case is that complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act has been filed by the petitioner against respondents 6 and 7 regarding a cheque transaction, which is pending consideration. He submits that at the instance of respondents 6 and 7, the petitioner is being called at the Police Station and pressure is put on him to settle the matter.

3. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions, submitted that on a complaint received from the 6th respondent, the petitioner was called and the statement was recorded. The Police, after coming to know that the dispute is with regard to financial transaction and the Police have nothing to do with that, the complaint has been closed.

In the above view of the matter, we find that the Writ Petition need not be entertained. Recording the statement made by the learned Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is closed.

**ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**A.M.SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE**

vgs3/6/15