

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF MAY 2015/7TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 15735 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

**BIBIN T. ALAPPAT,
ALAPPAT HOUSE, PURANATTUKARA PO, THRISSUR.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.GOPINATHAN NAIR

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, THRISSUR,
PIN -680001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A. SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

PJ

WP(C).No. 15735 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE TEMPORARY PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER ON
THE ROUTE THRIIPRAYAR WALAYAR VALID FROM 27.04.2015 TO 15.05.2015**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE 4 MONTHS TEMPORARY PERMIT APPLICATION
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDNET DATED
11.05.2015**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT IN
WPC.NO.32040/2014 DATED 01.12.2014**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 15735 of 2015

Dated this the 28th day of May, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Aggrieved by the non-consideration of Ext.P2 application submitted by the petitioner for temporary permit, he has approached this Court.

2. The petitioner is the registered owner of the stage carriage bearing registration No.KL-46/A 6606. The petitioner points out that one stage carriage bearing registration No.KL-48/B 9547 is having a regular permit on the route between Thriprayar and Walayar and the same is valid upto 30.8.2015. The petitioner points out that the said service is not operating at present and that permit is under suspended animation. As the route became vacant, the petitioner submitted an application for substitute temporary permit and the respondent granted the temporary permit enabling the petitioner to operate in place of the above service. The present grievance of the petitioner is that though he submitted

Ext.P2 application for re-issue of temporary permit, the same has not been considered.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter.

As the learned counsel for the petitioner confined his argument to the limited prayer for a direction to the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P2 application, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P2 application within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment, after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard. To facilitate an early action, it shall be open to the petitioner to produce a copy of the writ petition as well as the copy of the judgment before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

Scl.