

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE 2015/11TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 15211 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER(S):

**M/S.K K ROCKS & GRANITES INDIA(P)LTD,
KOTTACKAL, TC.2/3497, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695004,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, SRI.ARUN VARGHESE.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS
SRI.PAUL JACOB (P)
SRI.ENOCH DAVID SIMON JOEL
SRI.S.SREEDEV
SRI.RONY JOSE
SRI.GEORGE A.CHERIAN
SRI.K.SURENDRAN (PARASSALA)**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND
GEOLOGY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.**
- 2. DISTRICT GEOLOGY, DISTRICT OFFICE, DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND
GEOLOGY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.**
- 3. PALLICHAL GRAMA PANCHAYAT, VEDIVACHANKOVIL P.O,
BALARAMAPURAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695501,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETAY.**
- 4. SECRETARY, PALLICHAL GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
VEDIVACHANKOVIL P.O, BALARAMAPURAM, \
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695501.**

**R1 & 2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SHYSON P. MANGUZHA
R3 & BY SRI.T.K.ANANDA KRISHNAN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 01-06-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS

- P1: COPY OF THE LETTER OF INTENT FOR GRANTING QUARRYING PERMIT
DTD.5/5/15 NUMBERED AS 1000/DOT/ML/2015 ISSUED BY THE R2 TO THE
PETITIONER**
- P2: COPY OF THE LETTER DT.D16/4/15 ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR OF MINING &
GEOLOGY**
- P3: COPY OF THE CONSENT DTD.18/5/15 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER**
- P3(A): COPY OF THE EXPLOSIVES LICENSE DTD.23/3/15 ISSUED TO THE
PETITIONER**
- P4: COPY OF THE RECEIPT DTD.19/5/15 ISSUED BY THE R4**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.15211 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 1st day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court alleging that the respondent panchayat has not issued quarrying licence to him.

2. Today when the matter came up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that subsequent to the filing of the writ petition, the respondents have granted licence as prayed for to the petitioner. Therefore, the learned counsel submitted that as there is no surviving cause of action, the writ petition can be closed.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is closed without going into the merits.

Sd/-

**A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

krj