

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

MONDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JUNE 2015/1ST ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).NO. 15150 OF 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S) :

DR.J.HARIKRISHNA PILLAI AGED 51 YEARS
PROFESOR AND HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY MEDICINE DR.PADIYAR MEMORIAL HOMEOPATHIC
MEDICAL COLLEGE
CHOTTANIKKARA P.O, ERNAKULAM 682 312
RESIDING AT 'OCTOBER' , NEAR S.N JUNCTION
TRIPUNITHURA P.O

BY ADVS.SRI.SAJEEV KUMAR K.GOPAL
SRI.R.HARISHANKAR

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SERVICES
MEDICAL COLLEGE P.O, THRISSUR 680 596
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR

2. THE REGISTRAR
THE KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
MEDICAL COLLEGE P.O
THRISSUR 680 596

3. DR.PADIAR MEMORIAL HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, BOARD OF TRUSTEE
CHOTTANIKKARA, PIN- 682 312

4. THE PRINCIPAL
DR.PADIAR MEMORIAL HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE
CHOTTANIKKARA, PIN- 682 312

R1-R2 BY ADV. SRI.P.SREEKUMAR,SC,

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).NO. 15150 OF 2015 (P)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G.O(MS) 270/2012/H&FWD DATED 14/08/2012

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE PROMOTION ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DATED
10.12.2014

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION FROM THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE
4TH RESPONDENT DATED 12/03/2015

EXT.P4 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.8194/DPMHMC/2015-16 ISSUED BY THE 4TH
RESPONDENT DATED 09/04/2015

EXT.P5 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.018/2013/AC II/KUHS/2012 OF THE 2ND
RESPONDENT DATED 31/01/2013

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

.....
W.P.(C).No.15150 of 2015

.....
Dated this the 22nd day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner, who is presently working as a Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Community Medicine in the 3rd respondent College, is aggrieved by the delay in approval, by the 1st respondent, of the promotions effected in the 3rd respondent College as far as teaching staff are concerned. It is pointed out in the writ petition that the 2nd respondent had initially raised certain queries in connection with the proposals submitted by the College and, on receipt of Ext.P3 communication from the 2nd respondent, the 3rd respondent had, by Ext.P4, clarified the position obtaining in the College to the 2nd respondent. According to the petitioner, all that remains is for the 1st respondent to take a decision in the matter of approval to the promotions effected in the College.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing counsel for the 1st respondent.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case as also the submissions made across the bar, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent to take a decision in the matter

covered by Exts.P3 and P4 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after hearing the petitioner, if so required. The 1st respondent shall, on passing orders as directed, communicate the same to the petitioner immediately thereafter. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition together with copy of this judgment before the 1st respondent for further action.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

mns