

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARY JOSEPH

TUESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF JUNE 2015/12TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 15140 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

MISS. CHAITHRA PRAVEEN, AGED 18 YEARS
STUDENT, SREE ANJANEYA INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES
MUDAKKALLOR, ULLIYERI, KOZHIKODE-673315.

BY ADVS.SRI.KURIAN GEORGE KANNANTHANAM (SR.)
SRI.TONY GEORGE KANNANTHANAM
SRI.THOMAS GEORGE

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

1. THE ADMISSION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE FOR PROFESSIONAL COLLEGES,
(REPRESENTED BY ITS MEMBER SECRETARY)
PRASANTHI BUILDINGS, M.P.APPAN ROAD, VAZHUTHAKKAD
TRIVANDRUM-695014.
2. THE KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES,
MEDICAL COLLEGE.P.O, THRISSUR-630596
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.
3. THE PRINCIPAL,
SREE ANJANEYA INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES
MUDAKKALLOR, ULLIYERI, KOZHIKODE-673315.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.P.SREEKUMAR,SC,KERALA UNIVERSITY OFHEALTH
SCIENCES

R1 BY SMT.MARY BENJAMIN, SC, ADMISSION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
02-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 15140 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 17-1-2014 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE APPROVAL ORDER DATED 23-12-2014 ISSUED FROM
THE 1ST RESPONDENT

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 9-1-2015 ISSUED FROM THE
2ND RESPONDENT

EXT.P4 TRUE COPY OF THE REJECTION ORDER DATED 16-4-2015 BY THE 1ST
RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

vdv

K.SURENDRA MOHAN & MARY JOSEPH, JJ.

=====

W.P.(C) No.15140 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 2nd day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Surendra Mohan,J.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over to us across the bar the interim order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P No.12364 of 2015. We notice from the interim order that, the operation of the orders against which the Special Leave Petition was filed, has been stayed only in so far as the petitioners in the said case were concerned. Therefore, we are not satisfied that the petitioner is entitled to take the benefit of the said interim order and seek a similar interim order from this Court, especially since this Court has already disallowed a similar claim as per the judgment dated 10.04.2015 in W.P.(C) No.9218 of 2015 and connected cases. It is the said judgment against which the Special Leave Petition has been filed by the aggrieved party. Since the interim order of the Hon'ble

Supreme Court is confined to the petitioner in the Special Leave Petition, the petitioner cannot claim the benefit thereof in a separate writ petition filed before this Court.

The writ petition is therefore dismissed.

Sd/-
K.SURENDRA MOHAN, JUDGE

Sd/-
MARY JOSEPH, JUDGE

vdv