

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/7TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17757 of 2013 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

U.REGHUNATHAN PILLAI
QUALITY CONTROL INSPECTOR
NEMOM & VELIYAM PRODUCTION CENTRE
KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
REGIONAL OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
(UNDER ORDERS OF TRANSFER TO HANVEEV PROCESSING HOUSE
CHIRAKKAL, KANNUR REGION)

BY ADVS.SRI.K.SASIKUMAR
SRI.R.ROHITH
SRI.K.JANARDHANA SHENOY
SRI.V.N.RAJAPPAN

RESPONDENT(S):

1. KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, KANNUR-1
2. COMPANY SECRETARY
KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
KANNUR -1.
3. REGIONAL MANAGER
KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN-695001
4. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN- 695 001.

R1-R3 BY ADV. SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC
R1-R3 BY ADV. SRI.P.GOPINATH, SC
R1-R3 BY ADV. SRI.P.BENNY THOMAS,SC
R1-R3 BY ADV. SRI.K.JOHN MATHAI,SC
R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.V.H JASMINE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 29-10-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 17757 of 2013 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER G.O(RT)NO.666/2013/ID DATED 18-5-2013
EXT.P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 28-5-2013 FORWARDED BY
THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
EXT.P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.KSHDC/G2/419/972/2013 DATED 9-7-2013
ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
EXT.P4 - TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 12-7-2013 FORWARDED BY
THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

C.T. RAVIKUMAR, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.17757 OF 2013

=====

Dated this the 29th day of October, 2015

JUDGMENT

This writ petition has been filed mainly with the following prayers:-

- i. To call for the records leading to the issue of Ext.P3 order and quash the same so far as it relates to the petitioner, by the issue of a writ in the nature of certiorari;
- ii. To issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to retain the petitioner at the present place in Thiruvananthapuram Region itself;

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned standing counsel appearing for respondents 1 to 3 and the learned Government Pleader. On the strength of the interim order passed by this Court on 17.07.2013 which was extended from time to time, the petitioner has been continuing at the place from which he

was transferred. At this distance of time, in fact, nothing survives for further consideration taking note of the alleged contingency for which the order of transfer was issued. It will be open to the petitioner to take up the matter appropriately before the first respondent, in case of any further development or contingency.

Disposed of accordingly.

**Sd/-
C.T. RAVIKUMAR
(JUDGE)**

spc/29.10.2015

C.T. RAVIKUMAR, J.

JUDGMENT

September, 2010

