

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF JUNE 2015/13TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No.19188 of 2009 (P)

PETITIONERS :

1. SAFEEQUE.O, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O.HASSAN, OLAKARA HOUSE, TIRUNAVAYA,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
2. AHAMMAD SHAFFI T.T, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O.ABOOBACKER, THOOMBATH THAZHEKAT HOUSE,
P.O.PARAPPUR, KOTTAKAL, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
3. RASHIM UMMER C.P., AGED 21 YEARS,
S/O.UMMER, CHALAKA PARAMBIL HOUSE, P.O.MANGATTIRI,
TIRUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
4. NABEEH.P., AGED 21 YEARS,
S/O. ABDUSSUBUHAN, PALAKATHODI HOUSE, P.O.PARAPPUR,
KOTTAKAL, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.VIJAYA BHANU (SR.)
SRI.P.VENUGOPAL

RESPONDENTS :

1. THE DIRECTOR,
DIRECTORATE OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION, PADMA VILASAM ROAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 023.
2. THE JOINT CONTROLLER OF TECHNICAL
EXAMINATIONS WOMEN'S POLY TECHNIC CAMPUS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. THE COMMISSIONER OF ENTRANCE EXAMINATIONS,
HOUSING BOARD BUILDING, SANTHI NAGAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
4. THE DIRECTOR, LBS CENTRE FOR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY,
NANDAVANAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 033.

R3 BY ADV. SMT.K.K.RAZIYA, SC, LBS CENTRE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03-06-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANIL K.NARENDRAN, J.

W.P.(C).No.19188 of 2009

Dated this the 03rd day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

The main relief sought in the Writ Petition is to issue a writ of mandamus commanding respondents 3 and 4 to postpone the counselling in respect of the lateral admission to B.Tech Course for 2009 till the result of the supplementary examination conducted by the Board of Technical Education in the month of April 2009 is published.

2. By interim order dated 8.7.2009 this Court ordered that the 3rd and 4th respondent shall permit the petitioners to participate in the counselling forming part of the admission procedure of B.Tech Course, 2009, in the lateral entry scheme. But it was made clear that the petitioners shall not be admitted, except after getting orders from this Court and that such counselling shall be on a provisional basis. Thereafter, this Writ Petition was never brought up before bench for further orders.

3. Today when the case was taken up for final hearing there was no representation for the petitioners.

Since the main relief sought in the Writ Petition has already become infructuous this Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

ANIL K.NARENDRAN, JUDGE

skj