

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

TUESDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF MAY 2015/5TH JYASHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 14747 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER :

ANJU ALBERT, AGED 32 YEARS
D/O.K.J.ALBERT AUGUSTINE, NOW RESIDING AT KONNOTH (H)
KANNADI PO, PALAKKAD DISTRICT
PIN-678 701; PRESENT ADDRESS FOR
COMMUNICATION IS C/O.K.J.STANY
KONNOTH (H), KOOTTUNGAL LANE, THOPUMPADY
KOCHI TALUK, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-682 005.

BY ADV. SRI.LIJOY P.VARGHESE

RESPONDENTS :

1. RAJANEESH J. NAIR
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS, KOOTALA KALAM HOUSE
ANJUMOORTHY MANGALAM POST, PALAKKAD, KERALA
PIN-678 682.
2. KARTHYAYANI JANARDANAN
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, KOOTALA KALAM HOUSE
ANJUMOORTHY MANGALAM POST, PALAKKAD, KERALA
PIN-678 682.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TOWN SOUTH POLICE STATION, PALAKKAD DISTRICT
PIN- 678 001.
4. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-678 001.
5. STATE OF KERALA
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO HOME DEPARTMENT
KERALA SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT
PIN-695 001.

R3 TO R5 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI.C.R. SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
26-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 14747 of 2015 (P)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXHBIIT P1 : THE TRUE COPY OF THE E-TICKET RECEIPT DATED 10-03-2015 FOR
TRAVELLING FROM COIMBATORE TO BANGALORE ISSUED BY
"AIR COSTA" AIRLINES TO THE PETITIONER.

EXHIBIT P2 : THE TRUE COPY OF THE E-VISA NUMBER BB 56229441
ISSUED TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXHBITI P3 : THE TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 9-05-2015 SEND TO
THE 4TH RESPONDENT BY REGISTERED POST

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 14747 OF 2015

Dated this the 26th day of May, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

This writ petition is filed alleging police harassment. Petitioner prays that respondents 1 and 2 be directed not to harass the petitioner by calling him to the police station or visiting the home of the petitioner. Petitioner has made an allegation that a false complaint has been filed by the 1st respondent against the petitioner.

2. Learned Government Pleader was allowed time to get instructions. After obtaining instructions it is submitted that a complaint has been submitted by the 1st respondent alleging that the petitioner has offered to arrange a job for the 1st respondent and for that purpose certain amount was also deposited in the petitioner's account. It is submitted that on the complaint filed by 1st respondent, petitioner's statement was recorded at her residence. Learned Government Pleader submits that in the writ petition no such date has been given

on which the petitioner was called to police station or asked to come to the station as alleged.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not deny the filing of complaint by 1st respondent. His allegation is that the said complaint is a false complaint. He further submits that 1st respondent got some blank paper signed from the petitioner.

4. In the facts of the present case when complaint has already been filed against the petitioner in which connection investigation is proceeding, we are of the view that present is not a case of police harassment. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has not given the copy of the complaint of 1st respondent. Be that as it may, it is for the petitioner to approach the police authorities and get a copy of the complaint, if so advised.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is closed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**