

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF MAY 2015/30TH VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 14721 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER(S) :-

M/S.INDUSIND BANK LTD.,
A BANKING COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT 2401,
GEN.THIMMAYYA ROAD, CONTONEMENT, PUNE
AND HAVING STATE OFFICE AT RAMABHAVAN
NEAR PARUTHELIPALAM, NEAR TOLL JUNCTION, EDAPPALLY
REPRESENTED BY ITS LEGAL MANAGER, PARTHEESH S.

BY ADV. SRI.VARGHESE C.KURIAKOSE

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS
SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM-695001.
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
COCHIN CITY, ERNAKULAM-682031.
3. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, THRIKKAKARA-682301.
4. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KALAMASSERY-682030.
5. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KALAMASSERY, KALAMASSERY POLICE STATION
KALAMASSERY-682030.
6. LATHEESH K.I., S/O.KOCHUNARAYANAN PILLAI
RESIDING AT PARATHUR HOUSE, AMBALATHUMKALA P.O.
CHANDLOOR, KOTTARAKKARA, KOLLAM-691505.

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE TRASFER ORDER EFFECTED ON 12-11-14.

EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE WRITTEN LETTER DT.15-12-14 BY R6 WITH
TRANSLATION.

EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DT.29-4-15.

EXT.P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE SUSPENSION ORDER DT.11-5-15.

EXT.P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR POLICE PROTECTION WAS
FILED BY THE PETITIONER FOR THE STATE OFFICE AND ITS OFFICERS
WITH R2.

EXT.P6 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION GIVEN TO R4.

EXT.P7 : TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY R5.

EXT.P8 : TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTRATION SLIP.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ
&
A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J**

W.P(C).No. 14721 of 2015

Dated this the 20th May, 2015

JUDGMENT

Shaffique, J.

Petitioner is a banking institution, which has approached this Court seeking police protection. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the 6th respondent, who was working in the Bank, had to be suspended on account on certain misconducts. The learned counsel also submitted that the 6th respondent along with certain other persons may try to interfere with the functioning of the Bank and create nuisance, which will affect the reputation of the Bank as well as it will cause serious damage and injury to the petitioner as well as its working staff. It is submitted that though the petitioner had given certain complaints to the Police alleging threat from the 6th respondent, the Police is not taking any action.

2. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions, would submit that the Police had visited the Bank on 29.4.2014 and thereafter there is no such incident. Further it is submitted that the Police will take necessary precautions, if there is any threat from the 6th respondent creating any nuisance.

3. Having regard to the aforesaid submission, we do not think it necessary to issue any direction as prayed for. However, we only observe that if there is any threat from the 6th respondent or any other person, on the complaint of the Bank the Police shall look into the matter and do the needful.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M.SHAFIQUE
JUDGE

vgs21/5/15