

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF MAY 2015/7TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 14596 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER :

**VARGHESE K. DAVID, AGED 61 YEARS,
S/O.LATE K.S.DAVID, RESIDING AT KOTTAYATHUPARAMBIL,
THIRUVAMKULAM P.O., ERNAKULAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.NOBLE MATHEW

RESPONDENTS:-

- 1. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THRIPUNITHURA - 682 301.**
- 2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THRIPUNITHURA - 682 301.**
- 3. SANDEEP ROSH KUMARAN,
AASARIPARAMBIL HOUSE, THIRUVAMKULAM - 682 305.**
- 4. SABAREESH T. THANKAPPAN,
VALLAYIPARAMBIL HOUSE, PERUMBAVUR,
ERNAKULAM - 683 547.**
- 5. RANJITH K.S.,
S/O.SUKUTHAN, KORTH HOUSE, EDACHIRINCHU,
KAKKANTHURUTHU P.O., IRINJALAKUDA - 680 222.**
- 6. SUJITH C.B.,
S/O.BHASKARAN, CHAKKANATH, KAKKANTHURUTHU P.O.,
IRINJALAKUDA - 680 222.**
- 7. THE CITY POLICE COMMISSIONER,
KOCHI CITY POLICE, ERNAKULAM - 682 031.**
- 8. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO HOME AFFAIRS,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**

R1,R2,R7 & R8 BY STATE ATTORNEY SRI.P.VIJAYARAGHAVAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 14596 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE RESIGNATION LETTER ALONG WITH THE AGREEMENT DULY SIGNED BY RESPONDENT NO.3.**
- EXHIBIT P2. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE RESIGNATION LETTER ALONG WITH THE AGREEMENT DATED 04.05.2015 EXECUTED BY RESPONDENT NO.4.**
- EXHIBIT P3. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE HIGHER UPS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ON 12.05.2015.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

sts

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ
& A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.C.No.14596 of 2015

Dated this the 28th day of May 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. This writ petition is filed alleging police harassment. Petitioner's case is that he has been called at the instance of respondents 1 and 2 without having committed any offence by him.

3. The learned State Attorney, on instructions, would submit that the petitioner was called in connection with an investigation in which crime has already been registered.

4. In view of the above submission, we do think that there is any case of police harassment. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation.

With the above observation, this writ petition is closed.

(sd/-)

(ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE)

(sd/-)

(A.M.SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE)

jsr

