

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF MAY 2015/6TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 14496 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S):

ANIL KUMAR A., AGED 45 YEARS
S/O.APPU, RESIDING AT PRIYA NIVAS, KAVINPURAM
VILAPPILSALA.P.O.
TRIVANDRUM-695 573.JUNIOR ASSISTANT (UNDER SUSPENSION)
SUPPLY CO- MAVELI STORE, CHERIYAKONNI
TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.C.K.SREEJITH
SRI.S.ASOKAN (K/44/2014)

RESPONDENT(S):

1. REGIONAL MANAGER
THE KERALA STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LTD.
REGIONAL OFFICE, MAVELI TOWER, SISUVIHAR ROAD
SASTHAMANGALAM.P.O., VAZHUTHACAUD
TRIVANDRUM. 695 010.

2. THE CHAIRMAN
THE KERALA STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LTD.
MAVELI BHAVAN, MAVELI ROAD, GANDHI NAGAR
KOCHI-682 020.

3. ASSISTANT MANAGER
NEDUMANGAD DEPOT
THE KERALA STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LTD.
NEDUMANGAD, TRIVANDRUM-695 541.

R BY SMT.MOLLY JACOB,SC,SUPPLYCO

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
27-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 14496 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

P1- THE TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS INITIATED AGAINST THE PETITIONER
ON 7.2.2015.

P2- THE TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT IN
THE NATURE OF REPLY.

P3- THE TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

P4- THE TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED ON 20.3.2015 WITHOUT
ANNEXURE.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

.....
W.P.(C).No.14496 of 2015

.....
Dated this the 27th day of May, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner who was working as a Junior Assistant under the Kerala State Civil Supplies Corporation, was placed under suspension in connection with certain allegations. In the writ petition, the prayer of the petitioner is for a direction to the respondents to reinstate him in service pending finalization of the disciplinary proceedings, especially in view of the fact that notwithstanding the lapse of four months from the date of suspension, no memo of charges has been served on the petitioner. At the time of admission, I had queried the Standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Corporation as to the time that would be required by the respondent Corporation to complete the disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner. In response to the query from the Bench, the Standing counsel for the respondent Corporation would submit that the disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner would be completed within a period of six months, subject to the petitioner cooperating with the enquiry proceedings. Taking note of the said submission of counsel for the respondent Corporation, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent Corporation to complete the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner within a

period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after hearing the petitioner.

I make it clear that the above direction shall be subject to the petitioner cooperating with the enquiry proceedings. The prayer of the petitioner for reinstatement in service, at this stage of the disciplinary proceedings is rejected.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

mns