

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF MAY 2015/31ST VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 14392 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER(S) :-

1. JAYAKUMAR, AGED 36 YEARS
S/O RAMACHANDRAN, RESIDING AT VIJAYAVIKHNESH VIHAR
KANNANKULANGARA, THRIPIUNITHURA P.O.
2. NEETHUJAYAKUMAR, AGED 26,
W/O JAYAKUMAR, VIJAYAVIKHNESH VIHAR, KANNANKULANGARA
THRIPIUNITHURA P.O.
3. MADHUKUMAR, AGED 60 YEARS
S/O PADMBHANABHAN, RESIDING AT RAJESWARI BHAVAN
THURAVOOR P.O., CHERTHALA.
4. SHEELA, AGED 59,
W/O MADHUKUMAR, RESIDING AT RAJESWARI BHAVAN
THURAVOOR P.O., CHERTHALA.

BY ADVS.SMT.T.S.MAYA (THIYADIL)
SRI.K.RAJEEV (THIYADIL)
SMT.K.A.SUNITHA

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VAIKOM POLICE STATION, PIN-686 141.
2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, VAIKOM, PIN - 686 141.
3. MURALEEDHARAN K.S., AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
S/O SANKARAN, RESIDING AT MURALI NIVAS
KATTIKKUNNU KARA, CHEMBU VILLAGE, VAIKOM TALUK
CHEMBU P.O., PIN:686 608.
4. AJITHA MURALEEDHARAN, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
W/O MURALEEDHARAN, RESIDING AT MURALI NIVAS
KATTIKKUNNU KARA, CHEMBU VILLAGE, VAIKOM
TALUK, CHEMBU P.O., PIN:686 608.

R1 & R2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 21-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 14392 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXHIBIT P1: COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.2724/2013 OF VAIKOM SRO
EXECUTED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT IN THE NAME OF THE FIRST
PETITIONER.

EXHIBIT P2: COPY OF THE SANCTION LETTER ISSUED TO THE FIRST
PETITIONER DATED 4.12.2013 BY THE UNITED BANK OF INDIA, EDAPPALLY
BRANCH.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C) No.14392 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of May 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader.

2. No notice is required to be issued to respondent Nos.3 and 4 in view of the order, which is being passed in this writ petition.

3. This writ petition has been filed alleging police harassment. Petitioners' case in the writ petition is that they had purchased a property from the 3rd respondent. It is submitted that at the instance of the police, the 3rd respondent is trying to get the property reconveyed and in that connection petitioners were called to the police station unnecessarily.

4. Learned Government Pleader on instructions would submit that a complaint was received from the 3rd respondent, with regard to which, the petitioners were called to the police station. It is submitted that the petitioners did not appear in the police station. It is further submitted that no kind of harassment

-: 2 :-

has been meted out by the petitioners and that the petitioners were called to the police station only for getting their statement recorded.

5. In the above view of the matter, we are of the view that present is not a case of any police harassment. The first petitioner, if so advised, shall appear before the police to get his statement recorded in connection with the complaint filed by the 3rd respondent.

With this observation, this writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE