

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/5TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No.14328 of 2014 (M)

PETITIONER:

**SYAM.P,S/O.PARAMESWARAN NAIR,
LAB ASSISTANT FOOD CRAFT INSTITUTE (KERALA),
RESIDING AT SMITHA NIVAS,KUTHUKUZH P.O,
KOTHAMANGALAM,ERNAKULAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.SASINDRAN
SRI.PRADEESH MATHEW**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. FOOD CRAFT INSTITUTE (KERALA) SOCIETY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001,
KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**
- 2. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**

**R1 BY SRI.THOMAS MATHEW NELLIMOOTTIL S.C,
R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.SUNITHA VINOD.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 24-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**EXHIBIT P1:TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
WITH NO.KLY-A-9/2011/119 DATED 24-02-2011.**

**EXHIBIT P2:TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF OLD RULES
PRESCRIBING QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE POST OF
DEMONSTRATOR-COOKERY IN THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT P2:(a):TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF NEW RULES
PRESCRIBING QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE POST OF
DEMONSTRATOR-COOKERY IN THE RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT P3:TRUE COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER G.O (RT)
NO.9068/12/TSM DATED 31-10-2012 ISSUED BY THE 2ND
RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT P4:TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 31-03-2014 FILED BY
THE PETITIONER ALONG WITH OTHER EMPLOYEES BEFORE THE
2ND RESPONDENT.**

EXHIBIT P4(a):TRUE COPY OF THE POST ACKNOWLEDGMENT DATED 07-04-2014

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: **NIL**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P.(C).No. 14328 of 2014

Dated this the 24th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is working as Lab Assistant in the Food Craft Institute (Kerala) Society, Thiruvananthapuram. The petitioner approached the 1st respondent by Ext.P4 representation. The grievance highlighted in Ext.P4 is that there are certain anomalies in the qualification criteria for promotion to the post of Demonstrator-Cookery. It is on account of the non-consideration of Ext.P4, the petitioner has approached this Court. The limited extent of request made by the petitioner is an early disposal of Ext.P4.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider Ext.P4 representation within a period of six weeks after affording an opportunity of hearing the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

sd/

**A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
JUDGE**

jm/

“The words “1st respondent” occurring in the 2nd line of the 2nd paragraph of the judgment dated 24.02.2015 in W.P.(C) No.14328/2014 are corrected as “2nd respondent”, vide order dated 26.3.2015 in I.A.No.4139/2015 in W.P.(C) No.14328/2014.

Sd/

Registrar (Judicial)