

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MAY 2015/4TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 14042 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER:

BHASI, AGED 60 YEARS, S/O. NARAYANAN,
VISIONICS SATELLITE COMMUNICATION,
MADATHIPARAMBIL BUILDINGS,
THEKKUMBHAGAM, TRIPUNITHURA.

BY ADVS. SRI. RENJITH THAMPAN (SR.)
SMT. P. R. REENA

RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LIMITED,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VAIDYUTHI BHAVANAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 004.
2. CHIEF ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL DIVISIONAL OFFICE,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
VYTTILA, ERNAKULAM PIN- 682 020.
3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL DIVISION OFFICE,
TRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM PIN-682301.
4. ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SECTION, KSEB,
CHOTTANIKKARA PIN- 682 351.
5. ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SECTION OFFICE,
KSEB, TRIPUNITHURA PIN 682 301.

BY SRI. JAICE JACOB, SC, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25-05-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 3320/15 DT.3-2-15.
- EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE ELECTRICITY BOARD DT.31-12-14.
- EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DT.3-3-15 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO. 5.
- EXT.P3(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DT.6-3-15 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE 4TH RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DT.18-4-15 ISSUED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL DIVISION OFFICE, THRIPIUNITHURA.
- EXT.P4(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DT.20-4-15 FROM THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL DIVISION OFFICE, THRIPIUNITHURA.
- EXT.P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DT.30-4-2015 BEFORE R1-3.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

WP(C) NO. 14042 OF 2015

Dated this the 25th day of May, 2015

JUDGMENT

The pole rental demanded for drawing television cables is impugned. The second respondent Chief Engineer is the authority designated to resolve such dispute.

2. The petitioner has already filed Ext.P5 representation with the second respondent and the same is pending. I direct the second respondent to consider Ext.P5 representation with notice to the petitioner within a period of two months.

3. The coercive steps pursuant to Exts.P4 and P4(a) notices shall be put on hold in the meanwhile. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner remits one half of the amount demanded thereunder within a period of one month.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

ncd