

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

THURSDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/7TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 14180 of 2014 (V)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.PONNUNNI, AGED 50 YEARS
S/O. KUTTAPPAN, KALLUVILA PUTHEN VEEDU
ATHAZHA MANGLAM, NEYYATTINKARA VILLAGE
NEYYATTINKARA TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.RAMASWAMY PILLAI
SRI.P.M.JOSEPH
SMT.PREETHY R. NAIR
SRI.P.C.VIJAYAKUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, ELECTRICITY
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.**
- 2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD
NEYYATTINKARA-695121.**
- 3. THE ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, VIZHINJAM
NEYYATTINKARA TALUK-695121.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, POOVAR
NEYYATTINKARA TALUK-695121.**
- 5. M. AJITHKUMAR,
S/O. MADHAVAN, DHANYA BHAVAN, KALLUVILA PUTHEN VEEDU
ATHAZHA MANGALAM, MANALIVILA, NEYYATTINKARA.P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT-695121.**

**R2-R4 BY ADV. SRI.SAJEEVKUMAR K.GOPAL,SC,KSEB
R5 BY ADV. SRI.R.V.SREEJITH
R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.P.A.REZIYA**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 26-02-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 14180 of 2014 (V)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

P1. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE ROUGH SKETCH 30-5-14.

P2. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 30-10-2013.

**P3. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO ASSISTANT ENGINEER ON
16-1-2004.**

**P4. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE LETTER TO THE FOURTH RESPONDENT DATED
12-3-14.**

P5. TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT (WITHOUT EXTS.) DATED 5-5-14.

**P6. TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE NEYYATTINKARA
MUNICIPALITY DATED 20-1-14.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS - NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Imp

ANIL K. NARENDRA, J.

W.P.(C) No.14180 of 2014

Dated this the 26th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding respondents 2 to 4 not to replace the existing cable line with GI wire (Bare Overhead Line) to give connection to the 5th respondent with consumer No.14461. Today when the case was taken up for final hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that for the time being the petitioner is not having any subsisting grievance and that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition without prejudice to his right to approach this Court again, in case of any grievance in future.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Pleader for the first respondent, the learned Standing Counsel for the Board and also the learned counsel for the 5th respondent.

In view of the above submission made by the learned

counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to approach this Court again in case the petitioner has any grievance against the respondents.

Sd/-
ANIL K. NARENDRA
JUDGE

Imp

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE