

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/26TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 24101 of 2006 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

1. RAM BAHADUR THAKUR LIMITED,
SIDDHARTH BUILDING, WILLINGDON ISLAND, COCHIN-682003
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR, MR.S.M.SHARMA.

2. C.B.SHARMA, 125, 25TH FLOOR,
SAMUDRA MAHAL, DR. ANNIE BESANT ROAD, WORLI
MUMBAI-400018.

3. S.M.SHARMA, FORBES BUNGALOW,
FORTKOCCHI, COCHIN-682 001.

4. P.K.SINGH,
PAMBANAR ESTATE BUNGLOW, PEERMADE.

BY ADVS.SRI.JOSEPH KODIANTHARA
SRI.MITHUN MARKOS

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND
COMMISSIONER, EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND, ORGANISATION
C.M.S. COLLEGE ROAD, P.B.NO.36, KOTTAYAM-686 001.

2. THE RECOVERY OFFICER,
EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION
C.M.S. COLLEGE ROAD, P.B.NO.36, KOTTAYAM-686 001.

3. THE ASST. PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER
(RECOVERY OFFICER), EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT
FUND ORGANISATION, REGIONAL OFFICE, MUMBAI-1
341, BHAVISHYA NIDHI BHAVAN, BENDRA(E)
MUMBAI-400 051.

BY SRI.S.GOPAKUMARAN NAIR, SC, P.F.
SRI. PRASANTH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 17-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 24101 of 2006 (T)

APPENDIX

EXHIBITS

P1- TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 21.3.2006 OF THE HONOURABLE
SUPREME COURT IN C.A.9446 OF 2003

P2- TRUE COPY OF PROHIBITORY ORDER DATED 21.7.2006 ISSUED BY
R2

P3- TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 9.7.2002 OF THE KERALA HIGH
COURT IN O.P.34681/01D

P4- TRUE COPY OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DATED 25.8.06 ISSUED BY R3
TO THE 2ND PETITIONER

P5- TRUE COPY OF REPLY DATED 30.8.2006 FILED BY 2ND
PETITIONER TO EXT.P4 NOTICE

P6- TRUE COPY OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DATED 17.8.2006 RECEIVED
BY THE 4TH PETITIONER

R1(a)- TRUE COPY OF THE FORM 5A

R1(b)- TRUE COPY OF THE EVICTION NOTICE DATED 18.8.06

R1(c)- TRUE COPY OF THE MAHAZAR

//True Copy//

P.S. To Judge

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P.(C).No. 24101 of 2006

Dated 17th March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petition was filed against a notice proposing arrest for reason of default committed in the Provident Fund contributions. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Organization, on instructions, submits that the entire amount due from the petitioners, which resulted in the said proceeding, has been paid.

In the above circumstances, Exts.P4 and P6 shall not be proceeded with. The writ petition would stand disposed of, recording the undertaking of the respondent/Organization.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN
Judge

Mrcs

//True Copy//