

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P. CHALY

FRIDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF JULY 2015/2ND SRAVANA, 1937

W.P.(C).No. 13902 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

DR. ANU VARGHESE, AGED 29 YEARS
P.G. STUDENT, DEPARTMENT OF PHARMACOLOGY,
GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADVS. SRI. LIJI. J. VADAKEDOM
SRI. RAJEEV JYOTHISH GEORGE

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE PRINCIPAL,
GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE, KOTTAYAM - 686 007.
2. DIRECTOR OF MEDICAL EDUCATION,
DIRECTORATE OF MEDICAL EDUCATION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 685 001.
3. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
THRISSUR - 680 596.

R1 & R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI. NOUSHAD THOTTATHIL

R3 BY ADV. SRI. P. SREEKUMAR, SC, KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 24-07-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.T.O.

WP(C).No. 13902 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1: A TRUE COPY OF HTE CIRCULAR DATED 19/4/2013 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P2: THE TRUE COPY OF THE EXAMINATION NOTIFICATION DATED 16/04/2015 ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY.
- P3: THE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 8/4/2015 IN WPC 11190/2015.
- P4: THE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 6/5/2014 IN WPC NO.11836/2014.
- P4(A): THE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 24/7/2014 IN WPC NO.11836/2014.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

St/-

SHAJI P. CHALY, J.

W.P.(C) No.13902 of 2015

Dated this the 24th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

This writ petition is filed seeking to declare that the petitioner is entitled to appear for the P.G. Examination in M.D. Pharmacology to be conducted by the 3rd Respondent University as per Ext.P2 notification.

2. By virtue of the interim order passed by this Court on 08.05.2015 the petitioner became entitled to appear for the Medical P.G. Examination in M.D. Pharmacology. But, anyhow, the result is withheld by the University since taking part in the examination was made subject to further orders from this Court.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the University.

4. The learned Standing Counsel for the University submits that there was shortage of 19 days attendance to the petitioner to complete the course in the first year. The learned counsel further submits that on re-scheduling the course period of three years, the same can be rectified.

5. Accordingly, there will be a direction to the 1st Respondent to re-schedule the course period by 19 days and intimate the 3rd Respondent so as to qualify the petitioner to complete the course within the time schedule. This shall be done within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

6. Since the petitioner has already participated in the examination, there will be a direction to the University to publish the result of the petitioner after intimation given by the 1st Respondent to the 3rd Respondent by re-scheduling the course period.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
SHAJI P. CHALY
JUDGE

//true copy//

P.S. to Judge

St/-