

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

FRIDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF MAY 2015/1ST JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 13858 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER(S) :-

1. RASEENA MUNDODAN, W/O HAMZA,
2. BABY RASEEFA, D/O HAMZA,
3. ATHIF, S/O HAMZA,

(ALL RESIDING AT KARIMBANAKKAL HOUSE
NEAR BSNL OFFICE, CHAKKALAKUTHU, NILAMBUR PO
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT)

BY ADVS.SRI.P.SAMSUDIN
SRI.M.S.MOHAMMED ANSARY
SRI.K.C.ANTONY MATHEW

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. MUNDODAN MOHAMMED, S/O UNNI MOHAMMED,
MUNDODAN HOUSE, ARECODE-673 639, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NILAMBUR, NILAMBUR P.O., - 679 329, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF MALAPPURAM
MALAPPURAM P.O.-676 505, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.BABU KARUKAPADATH
SMT.M.A.VAHEEDA BABU
SRI.K.A.NOUSHAD
SRI.P.U.VINOD KUMAR
SRI.KANDAMPULLY RAHUL
SRI.MITHUN BABY JOHN
SRI.J.RAMKUMAR

R2 & R3 BY STATE ATTORNEY SRI.P.VIJAYARAGHAVAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
22-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 13858 of 2015 (F)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 23.4.2015 FILED BY
THE 1ST PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT ALONG WITH ENGLISH
TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C) No.13858 of 2015

Dated this the 22nd day of May 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique, J.

Petitioners have approached this Court seeking police protection. It is alleged that the first respondent is the brother of the first petitioner and there had been financial transaction between the first petitioner's husband and first respondent. In the mean time, the first respondent had come to the residence of the petitioners and had threatened the petitioners with dire consequences. Though a complaint was filed before the police, no action has been taken in the matter.

2. A counter affidavit has been filed by the first respondent inter alia stating that he had gone to the petitioners' residence along with his brothers and sisters. He has not threatened the petitioners in any manner. According to him, the first petitioner's husband had taken a loan from him, which was not returned and the purpose of going to the petitioners' residence was only to demand the amount.

3. Learned State Attorney on instructions would submit that on receipt of the complaint, the parties were summoned to

-: 2 :-

the police station and they were asked not to indulge in any nuisance to each other.

4. Having regard to the aforesaid factual situation, we do not think it necessary to pass any further direction in the matter.

5. Learned counsel for the first respondent would submit that the first respondent does not intend to go to the residence of the petitioners as well.

Taking on record the aforesaid submission, this writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE