

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF MAY 2015/30TH VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 13587 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S) :-

KUNJUMOHAMMED, AGED 53 YEARS
S/O.LATE MOIDEEN HAJI, PADINJAREPURAKKAL HOUSE
THIRUVATHRA PO, CHAVAKKAD, THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.L.RAJESH NARAYAN IYER

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, THRISSUR-680 020.
2. DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICE, THRISSUR RURAL-680 020.
3. DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
THRISSUR RURAL-680 020.
4. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, CHAVAKKAD-680 506.
5. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, CHAVAKKAD-680 506.
6. K.K.RAVEENDRAN,
DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
KUNNUMKULAM DIVISION, THRISSUR DISTRICT-680 523.
7. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

jvt

WP(C) .No. 13587 of 2015 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

ET P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 8-2-2000.

EXT P1(a) : ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT P1.

EXT P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT BEARING NO.SB 45/G1/2000-R
DATED 2-3-2000.

EXT P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 25-4-2000 IN OP
NO.12494/2000.

EXT P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 10-11-2014.

EXT P4(a) : ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT P4.

EXT P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 10-11-2014.

EXT P5(a) : ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT P5.

EXT P6 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 17-11-2014.

EXT P6(a) : ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT P6.

EXT P7 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 22-12-2014.

EXT P7(a) : ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT P7.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 13587 OF 2015

Dated this the 20th day of May, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 5 and 7. No notice is being issued to respondent No.6 in view of the order which is being passed.

2. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking police protection. The grievance of the petitioner is that the 6th respondent, who earlier was an active politician, was posted as Sub Inspector of Police. The allegation is that, at his instance, cases were registered against the petitioner and a rival faction has supported the 6th respondent. He submitted that a report has been submitted by Deputy Superintendent of Police, District Special Branch, Thrissur Rural, to the District Police Chief where also it was recommended that certain police protection be given to the petitioner.

3. Learned Government Pleader submits that so far as 5th respondent is concerned, no action has been taken by 6th respondent against the petitioner so far. It is further submitted that the report dated 22.12.2014 is under consideration of the District Police Chief, Thrissur Rural.

In the facts of the present case especially when the report has been sent, we are of the view that the District Police Chief may look into the report and take such appropriate action as required by law. With the above observation the Writ Petition is disposed of.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**

WP(C) No.13587 of 2015

-:3:-