

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF MAY 2015/30TH VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 13450 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER(S) :-

SURESH BABU
TC 17/1229 (27), SRA 157(1), SASTHA NAGAR
PANGODU, THIRUMALA PO, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 006.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.RAMAKRISHNAN
SMT.PREETHI KESAVAN
SRI.S.K.HARISH
SRI.T.C.KRISHNA
SRI.C.ANIL KUMAR
SMT.ASHA K.SHENOY

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. JYOTHIKUMAR
SRA 194, PLAMOOTTIL, SASTHA NAGAR
PANGODU, THIRUMALA PO, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 006.
2. P.M. SNEHA, W/O.JYOTHIKUMAR
PLAMOOTTIL, SASTHA NAGAR, PANGODU
THIRUMALA PO, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 006.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
POOJAPPURA POLICE STATION, POOJAPPURA
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 012.
4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MUSEUM POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 033.
5. SRI. V.SURESH KUMAR
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE (CANTONMENT)
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.

R3 TO R5 BY STATE ATTORNEY SRI.P.VIJAYARAGHAVAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF SALE DEED NO.2177/1999 DATED 1/9/1999.

EXT.P2 - TRUE COPY OF SALE DEED NO.4643/2004 DATED 29/12/2014.

EXT.P3 - TRUE COPY OF STATEMENT DATED 13/2/2015 OF THE PETITIONER
RECORDED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4 - ROUGH SKETCH SHOWING THE LIE AND NATURE OF THE
PETITIONER'S AND 1ST RESPONDENT'S PROPERTIES.

EXT.P5 - TRUE COPY OF COMPLAINT DATED 18/4/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 13450 OF 2015

Dated this the 20th day of May, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State Attorney appearing for respondents Nos.3 to 5. No notice is being issued to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in view of the order which is being passed.

2. This writ petition has been filed alleging police harassment. Petitioner's case is that a complaint was filed by party respondents to the Sub Inspector of Police claiming pathway through petitioner's property. The matter was enquired by Sub Inspector of Police and as it was a civil dispute, the matter was closed. It is submitted that thereafter 5th respondent has called the petitioner to appear at the police station and 5th respondent is putting pressure on the petitioner to provide pathway from the petitioner's property.

3. Learned State Attorney after obtaining instructions submitted that a complaint was received by 5th

respondent on which both parties were summoned on 25.04.2015. He also submitted that the 5th respondent looked into the matter and after coming to know that the dispute is of a civil nature the complaint has been closed.

In view of the above statement we see no reason to entertain the writ petition. The Writ Petition is closed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**