

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/18TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 13130 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER(S) :

FEBI ABRAHAM,
AGED 24 YEARS, D/O.LALI JOSEPH, VADAKKEMURIYIL HOUSE,
OORAKKANADU P.O., PARATHODU VIA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.M.V.THAMBAN
SRI.R.REJI
SMT.THARA THAMBAN
SRI.B.BIPIN
SRI.ARUN BOSE.

RESPONDENT(S) :

-
1. THE MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS, KOTTAYAM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, PIN-686 001.
 2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY, PRIYADARSHINI HILLS,
KOTTAYAM, PIN-686 001.
 3. THE REGISTRAR,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY, PRIYADARSHANI HILLS,
KOTTAYAM, PIN-686 001.
 4. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY, PRIYADARSHINI HILLS,
KOTTAYAM, PIN-686 001.

BY SRI. VARUGHESE M.EASO, S.C., M.G.UNIVERSITY.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

rvs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :

EXT. P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE HALL TICKET ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER FOR
THE 2ND SEMESTER M.A. ENGLISH EXAMINATION CONDUCTED
DURING AUGUST 2013.

EXT. P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE RESULT SHEET OF THE 2ND SEMESTER
EXAMINATION OF M.A. ENGLISH IN RESPECT OF THE PETITIONER.

EXT. P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DATED 03/07/2014.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :

NIL.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

RVS.

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J

WPC No.13130 of 2015

Dated this the 8th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking the following reliefs:

- i) to publish the revaluation result of the petitioner in respect of her 2nd semester M.A.English Examination subject, viz."Theories of Knowledge" conducted by the University during August 2013, within a time frame fixed by this Court; and
- ii) to publish the result of the 2nd semester supplementary M.A.English Examination conducted by the University during August 2014, as expeditiously as possible within a time frame fixed by this Court during the pendency of the writ petition.

2. It is submitted by the learned standing counsel for the respondent University that the petitioner applied for revaluation and she also appeared for the 2nd semester MA.English supplementary examination. It was also submitted that the petitioner got through the supplementary examination as per the results published.

3. Now, the remaining relief sought for is only

regarding the revaluation. It is further submitted that as there is glaring difference between the results of the first valuation and revaluation, now, the answer sheets are referred to expert valuation and the University would be in a position to announce the result within two weeks.

Recording the said submission, this writ petition is closed.

**sd/- A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

css/

true copy

P.S.TO JUDGE