

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/4TH VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12939 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

**BIBUNA E.,
UPSA, KUNNAMANGALAM, A.U.P. SCHOOL,
P.O. KUNNAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE - 673 571.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.JIJI THOMAS
SMT.SMITHA MATHEW
SRI.P.R.ASHOK KUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY,
PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 303.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
THAMARASSERY, KOZHIKODE.**
- 3. ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KUNNAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE.**
- 4. THE MANAGER,
KUNNAMANGALAM A.U.P. SCHOOL, P.O. KUNNAMANGALAM,
KOZHIKODE - 673 571.**

R1 TO R3 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
24-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 12939 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT-P1-TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 02/06/2014.

**EXHIBIT-P2-TRUE COPY OF THE REJECTION ORDER DATED 23/01/2015 ISSUED BY
THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

EXHIBIT-P3-TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN W.A 812/2015 DATED 09/04/2015.

**EXHIBIT-P4-TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN W.P(C) 27701/2014
DATED 27/10/2014.**

**EXHIBIT-P5-TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 10/02/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv**/**

ANIL K.NARENDRA, J.

W.P.(C).No.12939 of 2015

Dated this the 24th day of April, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner, was appointed as UPSA of Kunnamangalam A.U.P.School, Kozhikode, by the fourth respondent by Exhibit P1 order of appointment. But, approval for the said appointment was rejected by the Assistant Educational Officer by Exhibit P2 order. Aggrieved by Exhibit P2 order, the petitioner has filed Exhibit P5 appeal before the second respondent. Now the grievance of the petitioner is that Exhibit P5 appeal is pending consideration before the second respondent.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the official respondents.

3. Considering the fact that Exhibit P5 statutory appeal filed by the petitioner is pending consideration before the second respondent, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the Writ

Petition directing the second respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exhibit P5 appeal as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, with notice to the petitioner.

ANIL K.NARENDRAN, JUDGE

skj