

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

FRIDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/4TH VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12916 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

1. SARADA, AGED 38 YEARS,
W/O.DAMODARAN, 258, KALEECKAL, KUTTIYIL,
PANDALAM, THEKKEKARA, MAVELIKARA
2. SETHU,
S/O.GOVINDAN, 129,
KATTOSSERIL, PANDALAM, THEKKEKARA, MAVELIKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.M.R.SASITH

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
PATHANAMTHITTA.
2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, PANDALAM.
3. USHA,
KALEECKAL KUTTIYIL, MALLIKA, THETTAYIL P.O.,
MAVELIKARA.

R1 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
24-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 12916 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHBIIT P1-TRUE COPY OF ORDER PASSED BY THE 1 RESPONDENT.

EXHBIIT P2- TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT IN WRIT PETITION 19988/2014.

**EXHBIIT P3- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONERS.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

ANIL K.NARENDRA, J

W.P.(C)No.12916 Of 2015

DATED THIS THE 24th DAY OF APRIL, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners have moved this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P3 representation within a time limit as may be prescribed by this Court. Ext.P3 representation was submitted by the petitioners before the 2nd respondent in the light of Ext.P2 judgment, by which, this Court has given liberty to the petitioners to move respondents 1 and 2 under Section 17(1) of the Indian Telegraph Act, if the line in question is causing any inconvenience or prejudice to the beneficial enjoyment of their property.

2. Heard both sides.

Considering the fact that Ext.P3 representation submitted by the petitioners is pending consideration before the 2nd respondent, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P3 representation and take further action in terms of Section 17(1) of the Indian Telegraph Act, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks

from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, with notice to the petitioner and the third respondent.

Sd/-
ANIL K.NARENDRA,
JUDGE

dsn