

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/4TH VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12832 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

THE MANAGER, KAYALAM A.L.P SCHOOL AGED 66 YEARS
P.O. PERUVAYAL, CALICUT-24.

BY ADVS.SRI.JIJI THOMAS
SMT.SMITHA MATHEW
SRI.P.R.ASHOK KUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY
PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 303

2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
KOZHIKODE - 673 001.

3. ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
KOZHIKODE RURAL, KOZHIKODE. 673 001.

4. HARITHA S., LPSA
KAYALAM ALP SCHOOL, PO PERUVAYAL, CALICUT-24.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
24-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 12832 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 2.06.2014

EXT.P2 - A COPY OF THE REJECTION ORDER DATED 10/10/2014 ISSUED BY THE
3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN W.A.NO.812/2015 DATED
9/04/2015

EXT.P4 - TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN WPC NO.708/2015 DATED
9/01/2015

EXT.P5 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 14/03/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PS TO JUDGE

ANIL K.NARENDRA, J

W.P(C).No. 12832 of 2015

Dated this the 24th April, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner, who is the Manager of Kayalam A.L.P.School, Kozhikode, has appointed the fourth respondent as LPSA by Exhibit P1 order of appointment. But, approval for the said appointment was rejected by the Assistant Educational Officer by Exhibit P2 order. Aggrieved by Exhibit P2 order, the petitioner has filed Exhibit P5 appeal before the second respondent. Now the grievance of the petitioner is that Exhibit P5 appeal is pending consideration before the second respondent.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the official respondents.

3. Considering the fact that Exhibit P5 statutory appeal filed by the petitioner is pending consideration before the second respondent, I deem it appropriate to

dispose of the Writ Petition directing the second respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exhibit P5 appeal as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, with notice to the petitioner.

**ANIL K.NARENDRA
JUDGE**

vgs24/4/15