

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR

TUESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL 2015/1ST VAISAKHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12718 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER:

P.L.RAJEEVAN, AGED 53 YEARS
S/O.LAKSHMANAN
PALLATHUPARAMBIL VATTATHOPPU HOUSE,
ARoor P.O, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN.688 534.

BY ADVS.SRI.C.P.SAJI
SMT.P.DEEPA MOHAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. AROOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT
ARoor P.O, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT
PIN.688 534 REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE SECRETARY, AROOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
ARoor P.O, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT
PIN.688 534.
3. HEALTH INSPECTOR, PRIMARY HEALTH CENTRE,
ARoor P.O, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT
PIN. 688 534.
4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ARoor POLICE STATION, AROOR P.O,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN. 688 534.
5. SOMAN, PANCHAJANYAM,
VATTATHOPPIL HOUSE, AROOR P.O
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN. 688 534.

R3 & R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.RAJESH T.R.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 12718 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DATED 03.03.2015 GAVE TO THE 1ST AND
2ND RESPONDENTS BY THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DATED 03.03.2015 GAVE TO THE 4TH
RESPONDENT BY THE PETITIONER.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

TKS

P.S. TO JUDGE

C.T.RAVIKUMAR, J.

W.P.(C)No.12718 of 2015

Dated 21st April, 2015

JUDGMENT

The grievance of the petitioner, who is a resident in Ward No.IV of Aroor Grama Panchayat, is that the 5th respondent, who is his neighbour, is proposing to effect construction in the nature of an extension to the existing building and for that purpose he is also proposing to construct a septic tank near to the well which is being used by the petitioner and the other residents in the locality. In the said circumstances, raising grievances Ext.P1 complaint has been submitted before the 2nd respondent. When this matter is taken up for consideration the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 expeditiously. In view of the nature of the order I propose to pass in this writ petition I do not think it necessary to issue notice to respondents 1, 2 and 5.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate

orders on Ext.P1 expeditiously, at any rate, within a period of two weeks from the date of production of a copy of this judgment, with notice to the 5th respondent.

Sd/-
C.T.RAVIKUMAR
Judge

TKS