

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/20TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12463 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S):

**SENTHIL KUMAR K., AGED 31 YEARS,
S/O.CHANDRAN, 67/B, VETRALAIKAAN KOTTAI (H),
VELLARIVELLY VILLAGE, VELLARIVELLY PO.,
EDAPPADI TALUK, SALEM DISTRICT - 637 101,
(OWNER OF JCB BEARING REGISTRATION NO.TN-52 D-9154).**

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.ZIRAJ

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
OTTAPALAM - I, PALAKKAD DISTRICT,
PIN - 678 014.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
10-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 12463 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

**EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SEIZURE MAHASSAR DATED 1.4.2015 PREPARED BY
THE RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No. 12463 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 10th April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is the owner of JCB bearing No.**TN.52 D.9154** and is aggrieved of the seizure of the vehicle by the respondent/Village Officer on 01.04.2015, alleging that the above vehicle were being used for transportation of 'ordinary earth' without valid pass.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to compound the offence and that an opportunity might be given to get the vehicle released, after satisfying the compounding fee.

3. The issue involved in this case is, whether the petitioner, who has been proceeded against in respect of the offences under the Mines and Mineral (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 2015 is entitled to have the offence compounded in view of the desire expressed from the part of the petitioner in this regard.

4. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

5. Section 23A of the 'Act' and the relevant Rules enable the

parties to have the offence compounded. The Rules specifically stipulate that any offence under the Rules can be compounded subject to the satisfaction of the maximum fine prescribed under the Rules, which is stated as Rs.5,000/-. But in respect of the transportation of sand/earth without any valid pass/sanction, it is stated as an offence under the 'Act' by virtue of the incorporation of Section 4(1A), for which separate penalty is provided under the 'Act' itself. It was in the said circumstance, that this Court has been passing various orders in similar matters enabling the concerned parties to have the interim custody of the vehicle on satisfaction of a sum of **Rs.25,000/-** and also by directing the concerned respondent to consider the application for compounding, if any.

6. A question arose before this Court as to whether the prosecution proceedings could be pursued further, once the offence is compounded in accordance with the relevant provisions. This issue has already been considered and decided by this Court in **2013 (1) KLT 600 (Digil v. Sub Inspector of Police)**, holding that, once the offence is compounded, there cannot be any further prosecution proceedings.

7. In view of the law declared as mentioned herein before, there will be a direction to the respondent to consider the application filed by the petitioner to compound the offence; and pass appropriate orders forthwith, subject to satisfaction of a sum of **Rs.25000/-** as the compounding fee. Once the offence is compounded, no prosecution proceedings shall lie against the petitioner.

8. The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment, along with a copy of this writ petition, before the first respondent/Village Officer, for further steps.

The writ petition is disposed of.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

/k