

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/20TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12423 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER :

**ASSAINAR
S/O. THARUVAYI, AGED 55 YEARS
KAIPURATH MEETHAL
KARAYAD POST, MEPPAYOOR
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT
PIN – 673 524.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.P.SUDHEER
SRI.ARUN MATHEW VADAKKAN**

RESPONDENT :

**VILLAGE OFFICER
KOOTHALI VILLAGE OFFICE
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT
PIN – 673 525.**

BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI. JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

- EXT.P1 : COPY OF SALE DEED DATED 12.8.2009 REGISTERED AS DOCUMENT NO. 1750/2009 OF PERAMBRA SRO.
- EXT.P2 COPY OF SALE DEED DATED 7.9.2009 REGISTERED AS DOCUMENT NO. 1900/2009 OF PERAMBRA, SRO.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF DOCUMENT NO. 2811 OF 2007 OF PERAMBRA SRO AND DATED 29.12.2007.
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE RESPONDENT DATED 23/3/2015 AND ITS POSTAL RECEIPT DATED 26/3/2015.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

Mn

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No. 12423 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 10th April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

"a) issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the respondent to accept the basic tax from the petitioner in respect of the property covered by Exhibits P1 and P2 and effect mutation in the revenue records forthwith;

b) issue such other writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. The petitioner is the owner of property covered by Exts.P1 and P2 sale deeds. The grievance of the petitioner is that when he approached the respondent Village officer for remitting basic tax and also for effecting mutation, the same was refused to be acted upon. Hence this writ petition.

3. When the matter is taken up for consideration, the learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of

the petitioner has already been projected by way of Ext.P4 and that the only relief sought for is to cause the same to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

4. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

5. Considering the limited nature of relief sought for, this Court does not find it necessary to go into the merits of the case. The writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent/Village officer to consider and dispose of Ext.P4 at the earliest, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the respondent/Village Officer for further steps.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

/k