

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

TUESDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF MAY 2015/5TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 12320 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

D.RAJENDRAN, S/O. DAMDHARAN PILLAI, AGED 52 YEARS
INSPECTOR, VIKAS BHAVAN DEPOT
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
VIKAS BHAVAN P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
RESIDING AT "REMYA", VENCHAVODE, SREEKARIYAM P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.SREEKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.
2. CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.
3. CONVENER (CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR),
DEPARTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

R BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
26-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 12320 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER G.O.(MS) NO.63/2012/TRAN DATED
16.10.2012.

EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF CIRCULAR NO.893/GL2/2015/RTC DATED 26.3.2015.

EXHIBIT-P3- TRUE COPY OF NOTIFICATION NO.893/G.L2/2015/RTC DATED
31.3.2015.

EXHIBIT-P4- TRUE COPY OF CERTIFICATE ID.32129# 1#3 ISSUED TO PETITIONER
DATED 5.2.2015 BY PSC.

EXHIBIT-P5- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY PETITIONER BEFORE
THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 7.4.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.12320 of 2015

Dated this the 26th day of May, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Now that the petitioner has passed the KSRTC Manual Test conducted on 15.2.2015 making him eligible for promotion. The claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Transport Officer shall therefore be considered without delay.

2. The claim of the petitioner shall be considered by the third respondent in the next meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee. Needless to say that necessary follow up action shall be taken by the second respondent and appropriate orders issued to the petitioner. All other contentions are left open.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.