

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/19TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 11954 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

I. RAJALEKSHMI
KALATHIL HOUSE, ARINALLOOR P.O.
THEVALAKARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.VIJAYAN UNNITHAN

RESPONDENT(S):

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
VYDUTHI BHAVANAM, PATTOM P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 004.
2. ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.,
ELECTRICAL SECTION, KARUNAGAPPALLY SOUTH
KOLLAM DISTRICT - 690 518.
3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION
KARUNAGAPPALLY SOUTH, KOLLAM DISTRICT - 690 518.
4. DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.,
ELECTRICAL CIRCLE, KOTTARAKARA
KOLLAM DISTRICT - 691 506.

R BY SRI.JAICE JACOB,SC,KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
09-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN W.P.(C) NO.11954 OF 2015

PETITIONER'S EXTS:

- | | |
|--------|--|
| EXT.P1 | TRUE COPY OF THE MAHAZAR DATED 16/11/2012 PREPARED BY THE SUB ENGINEER |
| EXT.P2 | TRUE COPY OF THE BILL DATED 3/1/2013 ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P3 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT REPORTED IN 2013(1) KLJ 667 |
| EXT.P4 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 10/1/2014 OF THE FOURTH RESPONDENT APPELLATE AUTHORITY. |
| EXT.P5 | TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 20/1/2014 OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P6 | TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 19/3/2015 OF THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN. |

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.11954 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The assessment of penalty in Ext.P3 bill is obviously not in tune with Ext.P3 judgment. Ext.P2 bill is accordingly quashed and the second respondent is directed to re-quantify the amount. The needful shall be done with notice to the petitioner within a period of one month. Further coercive proceedings shall be put on hold till a revised bill is issued by the second respondent.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.