

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/19TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 11941 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S) :

M.SHABNAMOL AGED 23 YEARS
WIFE OF MUNEER, L.G.SANSKRIT TEACHER (APRT-TIME)
ST.THOMAS U.P.SCHOOL, SOORANAD, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695014.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
THEVALLY, KOLLAM DISTRICT-691009.

4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
SASTHAMCOTTA, KOLLAM DISTRICT-690521.

5. THE CORPORATE MANAGER
MMC CORPORATE MANAGEMENT SCHOOLS
CATHOLICATE ARAMANA, DEVALOKAM, KOTTAYAM-686004.

6. THE HEADMASTER
ST.THOMAS U.P.SCHOOL, SOORANAD
KOLLAM DISTRICT-690522.

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER(SR): ADV.SRI.M A FAYAZ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
09-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT-P1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 17.6.2009.

EXHIBIT-P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 1.6.2010.

EXHIBIT-P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE
GOVERNMENT DATED 01.02.2015 WITHOUT EXHIBITS

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

True Copy/

P A to Judge

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.
=====

W.P(C).No.11941 of 2015
=====

Dated this the 09th day of April, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner was appointed against a regular vacancy with effect from 17.06.2009. Petitioner's grievance is that her appointment was approved on daily wage basis from 17.06.2009 to 31.03.2010 and thereafter on regular scale of pay from 01.06.2010 onwards. Petitioner submits that in terms of the decision of the Supreme Court in **Sneha Cheriyan Vs. State of Kerala (2013(1) KLT 755)** she is entitled to get approval on scale of pay basis from 17.06.2009 onwards. Petitioner approached the Government by Ext.P3 revision claiming approval of scale of pay from the date of appointment.

2. In the light of the above, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P3 revision petition after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE.

Sbna/17/04/15