

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/19TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 11862 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S) :-

K.S.THANKACHAN, AGED 72 YEARS
S/O.SAMUELKUTTY, S R BUNGALOW, PULLIKANAKKUMURI
KRISHNAPURAM VILLAGE, KAYAMKULAM, KARTHIKAPPALLY
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.RAJASEKHARAN PILLAI
SMT.SABINA JAYAN

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. THE DISTRICT CHIEF OF POLICE, ALAPPUZHA - 680 001.
2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ALAPPUZHA - 680 001.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KAYAMKULAM - 690 502.
4. LEELAMMA, W/O.K.S.THANKACHAN, RESIDING AT S R BUNGALOW
PULLIKANAKKUMURI, KRISHNAPURAM VILLAGE, KAYAMKULAM
KARTHIKAPPALLY, ALAPPUZHA - 690 537.
5. STEPHEN THANKACHAN,
S/O.K.S.THANKACHAN, RESIDING AT S R BUNGALOW
PULLIKANAKKUMURI, KRISHNAPURAM VILLAGE, KAYAMKULAM
KARTHIKAPPALLY, ALAPPUZHA - 690 537.

R1 TO R3 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09-04-
2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

jvt

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 17.11.2014 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR.

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DATED 18.11.2014 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2A TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRASLATION OF EXT.P2.

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION BEFORE THE SENIOR CITIZENS MAINTENANCE TRIBUNAL FILED BY THE PETITIONER ON 4.12.2014.

EXT.P3A TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT.P3.

EXT.P4 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 22.2.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE HON'BLE HOME MINISTER.

EXT.P4A TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT.P4.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C) No.11862 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of April 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking police protection. It is inter alia contended that petitioner's wife and son is causing serious threat to the petitioner's life. Apparently, they are residing in the same building. Since the allegations in the writ petition discloses a family dispute, the police cannot interfere in such a dispute. That apart, since the petitioner as well as respondents 4 and 5 are residing in the same building, the police cannot interfere in the matter which are happened inside the building. At any rate, regarding the nature of order being passed in the writ petition, no notice is being issued to respondents 4 and 5.

There will be a direction to the 3rd respondent to keep a constant vigil on the activities of both petitioner as well as respondents 4 and 5. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE**