IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE P.V.ASHA

FRIDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/22ND KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 16069 of 2009 (C)

PETITIONERS:
----

1. A.K.VINODAN, AGED 41 YEARS, S/O.GOPALAN, FORMERLY H.S.A (SOCIAL SCIENCE), PAVANDOOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O.PAVANDOOR, KOZHIKODE DIST. RESIDING AT AMMARAMMARUKANDIYIL PUTHUPANAM VADAKARA, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

2. V.K.VINOD KUMAR, AGED 37 YEARS, S/O.NARAYANAN NAMBEESAN, FORMERLY H.S.A(MATHS) PAVANDOOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O.PAVANDOOR KOZHIKODE DIST. RESIDING AT PRANAVAM KANNANKARA P.O., CHELANNUR, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

3. K.PRASEELA, AGED 40 YEARS, W/O.SUDHEESH KUMAR, FORMERLY H.S.A(PHYSICAL SCIENCE) PAVANDOOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O.PAVANDOOR KOZHIKODE DIST. RESIDING AT VIKAS HOUSE KANNANKARA P.O., CHELANNUR, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

4. B.SREEBA, AGED 32 YEARS, W/O.V.P.ANIL KUMAR, FORMERLY H.S.A(SOCIAL STUDIES) PAVANDOOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O.PAVANDOOR KOZHIKODE DIST. RESIDING AT KRISHNA HOUSE EDAKKARA P.O., CHELANNUR, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

5. T.SHYNI, AGED 34 YEARS, W/O.SHYBIN, FORMERLY H.S.A (MATHS), PAVANDOOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O.PAVANDOOR, KOZHIKODE DIST. RESIDING AT SURABHI HOUSE, VATTOLI BAZAR PO, BALUSSERY KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.R.SREEJITH

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, KOZHIKODE.

4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, VADAKARA, KOZHIKODE DIST.

5. THE MANAGER, PAVANDOOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O.PAVANDOOR KOZHIKODE DIST.

R1 -R 4 BY SRI.P.FAZIL, GOVERNMENT PLEADER
R,R5 BY ADV. SRI.M.VIJAYAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:
RKC

P.V.ASHA, J.

W.P.(C) No.16069 of 2009

Dated this the 13th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the issuance of registered notice to the petitioner he has not received any instruction. Hence the writ petition is dismissed for default.

sd/-

P.V.ASHA,
JUDGE.

rkc