

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

MONDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MAY 2015/4TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 11693 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S) :

VIDYA P., AGED: 30,
W/O.RAVIKUMAR, UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL ASSISTANT
KRISHNA AIDED UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL
THACHINGANADAM PO, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT

BY ADV. DR.GEORGE ABRAHAM

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MELATTUR 679326

2. STATE OF KERALA
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
THRIUVANANTHAPURAM -695001

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.LOWSY.A

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
25-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 11693 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

P1:TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER FOR THE APPROVAL DATED
03.06.2008

P2:TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE FIRST RESPONDENT DATED 25.06.2011

P3:TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC.NO.3235/2011

P4:TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER DATED
30.03.2015

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

.....
W.P.(C).No.11693 of 2015

.....
Dated this the 25th day of May, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner, who was appointed as U.P.S.A at the Krishna Aided Upper Primary School, Thachinganadam, Malappuram district, is aggrieved by the action of the Assistant Educational Officer in reviewing an earlier order that granted approval to the appointment of the petitioner. It is the case of the petitioner that there is a revision petition submitted by him pending before the Government, which is produced as Ext.P4 in the writ petition, and the limited relief prayed for in the writ petition at the time of hearing is for a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P4 revision within a specified time limit.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case as also the submissions made across the bar, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P4 revision petition within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after hearing the petitioner. The 2nd respondent while considering the revision

petition as directed, shall specifically advert to the contentions of the petitioner and in particular the judgment of the Supreme Court in **State of Kerala and Others Vs. Sneha Cheriyan (2013 (1) KHC 660)** that is relied upon by the petitioner.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

mns