

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/25TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 11328 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER(S) :

**BENEDICT RAJA,
GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR, POURNAMI, LAKSHMI NADA,
KACHERI.P.O., KOLLAM-691 013.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.SANTHOSH KUMAR
SMT.P.LISSY JOSE.**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES AND PORTS, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 3. THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
HARBOUR ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, KAMALESWARAM,
MANACAUD.P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 009.**
- 4. SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
HARBOUR ENGINEERING, SOUTH CIRCLE, KAMALESWARAM,
MANACAUD.P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 009.**
- 5. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
HARBOUR ENGINEERING DIVISION, VIZHINJAM.P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 521.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.V.LONACHAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 11328 of 2015 (M)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF AGREEMENT ALONG WITH AGREEMENT
SCHEDULE DATED 08.12.2011.**

**EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF LETTER DATED 29.12.2014 OF
THE 5TH RESPONDENT TO THE CHIEF MANAGER, STATE BANK OF
TRAVANCORE.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msd.

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 11328 of 2015 (M)

Dated this the 15th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non payment of bills which is said to be admitted by the Harbour Engineering Department. The bill admittedly was presented in December, 2014.

2. The learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondent Harbour Engineering Department would contend that the bills are paid in accordance with the date of presentation of such bills and that as of now the bills up to October, 2014 have been cleared.

3. Hence, it is only proper that the petitioner's claim also be considered expeditiously in order of the seniority of its presentation.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE