

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/30TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 11483 of 2014 (I)

PETITIONER(S) :

A.S.K.SYED MUHAMMED AGED 52 YEARS
S/O.KUNJU MARAKKAR, ANJILIMOOTTIL HOUSE, KUZHIVELIPADI
EDATHALA, ALUVA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SMT.P.R.REENA

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. KERALA STATE ELECTION COMMISSION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. K.V.VELU
S/O.VAYRO, KOOLIYADU HOUSE, KURALADU
EDATHALA.P.O., ERNAKULAM DISTRICT. PIN-683 561.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.P.CHANDRASEKHAR

R1 BY ADV. SRI.MURALI PURUSHOTHAMAN, SC,K.S.E.COMM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 21-
12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

- P1- TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES DATED 02.06.2012 OF EDATHALA GRAMA PANCHAYATH COMMITTEE.
- P2- TRUE COPY OF THE DISAGREEMENT NOTE FILED BEFORE THE SECRETARY BY THE 7 PANCHAYATH MEMBERS DATED 21.6.2012.
- P2(A)- TRUE COPY OF THE DISAGREEMENT NOTE FILED BEFORE THE PANCHAYATH SECRETARY BY A.A.MAYAN DATED 21.6.2012.
- P2(B)- TRUE COPY OF THE DISAGREEMENT NOTE FILED BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF THE PANCHAYATH BY THE 3 PANCHAYATH MEMBERS DATED 21.6.2012.
- P3- TRUE COPY OF LETTER DATED 22.06.2012 OF THE PANCHAYATH MEMBERS TO KERALA PANCHAYATH DIRECTOR.
- P4- TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES DATED 26.07.2012 OF THE PANCHAYATH COMMITTEE.
- P5- TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL PETITION, O.P.NO.44/2012 BEFORE THE STATE ELECTION COMMISSION.
- P6- TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION FILED BY THE PETITIONER HEREIN IN O.P.NO.44/2012.
- P7- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN O.P.NO.44/2012 DATED 11.04.2014 OF KERALA STATE ELECTION COMMISSION.
- P8- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 21.1.2014 IN W.P[C]NO.2095/2014.
- P9- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN W.P[C]NO.25283/2013 DATED 26.11.2013.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.11483 of 2014

Dated this the 21st day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The disqualification proposed is co-terminous with the term.
The term has expired and the writ petition has become
infructuous. Hence the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.**

sks