

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN**

**TUESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/17TH CHAITHRA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 11174 of 2015 (V)**  
-----

**PETITIONER :**  
-----

**IBRAHIMKUTTY  
NARAKKATTIL HOUSE  
KUZHIVELIPADY, EDATHALA  
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI.SAJEEV KUMAR K.GOPAL**

**RESPONDENT(S) :**  
-----

- 1. THE SECRETARY  
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY  
MUVATTUPUZHA, ERNAKULAM – 686 661.**
- 2. RUBY SHAMSUDHEEN  
MALETH HOUSE, PONJASSERY P.O.,  
PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM – 683 547.**

**R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. R. RANJITH**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 07-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**Mn**

**...2/-**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :**

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE TIMING OF STAGE CARRIAGE KL-26/5310.

EXT.P2 COPY OF THE TIMING OF STAGE CARRIAGE KL-17/D-4345.

EXT.P3 COPY OF THE AGENDA OF THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL  
TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, MUVATTUPUZHA.

EXT.P4 COPY OF THE OBJECTION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE  
THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

**RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : NIL**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**P.S. TO JUDGE**

**Mn**

K.Vinod Chandran, J.

-----  
W.P.(C).No.11174 of 2015-V  
-----

Dated this the 07<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is aggrieved with the issuance of the regular permit granted as per the proposed set of timings to the service of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent as shown in Exhibit P3 agenda, item No.1. The service operated by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent covers a major portion of the route in which the petitioner is operating his services.

2. The issuance of the permit is as proposed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, to which timings the petitioner has already filed objections as evidenced by Exhibit P4. It is averred by the petitioner that the grant of permit has been made in favour of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent subject to settlement of timings. In such circumstance, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent has to consider the objections of the petitioner when timing conference is fixed for settling the timing of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent.

3. In the above circumstance, if Exhibit P4 objection is received in original by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, then a timing conference shall be convened in respect of the service of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, expeditiously, and the petitioner's objection shall be considered in the timing conference so scheduled.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-  
K.Vinod Chandran,  
Judge

vku.

[ true copy ]