

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/1ST PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 15030 of 2010 (C)

PETITIONER(S) :

MUTTATHUKUTTIL KURIAN,
S/O. PAILEE, AGED 69 YEARS, KUTTAPARAMBU POST
ALAKODE, THALIPARAMBA, KANNUR.

BY ADVS.SRI.SUNIL NAIR PALAKKAT
SRI.K.N.ABHILASH

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
KANNUR DISTRICT, COLLECTORATE, KANNUR.

2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, K.S.E.B., THALIPARAMBA
KANNUR.

3. JOSEPH PEZHAKKATTIL, KUTTAPARAMBU POST,
ALAKODE THALIPARAMBA, KANNUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE
BY SRI.P.P.THAJUDEEN, SC, K.S.E.B

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 20-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXTS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SKETCH
PREPARED BY THE PETITIONER

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER
REF. A-6-35643/2009 OF THE IST
RESPONDENT DATED 22-4-2010

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

.....
W.P. (C) No. 15030 f 2010
.....

Dated : 20 - 02 - 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Ext. P2 order passed by the Addl. District Magistrate, Kannur, exercising the power under Section 16 (1) of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 read with Sec. 164 of the Indian Electricity Act, 2003.

2. A perusal of Ext. P2 indicates that the first respondent has considered the issue relating to the drawal of line and had arrived at a conclusion obtaining appropriate reports from the Tahsildar. It is contended by the petitioner that the objection raised by the petitioner has not been considered. A perusal of the order indicates that appropriate directions had been issued after

considering the objection of the petitioner as well. There is no violation of principles of natural justice.

Under such circumstances, I do not think that the petitioner is justified in challenging Ext. P2 order. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed.

A.M. SHAFFIQUE
(Judge)

ani/