

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/20TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 11150 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

**ABDUL RAZACK
S/O KUNHU MOHAMMED
THOTTEKKAD, PULPATT A P.O
MANJERI
MALAPPURAM**

BY ADV. SRI.PRASAD CHANDRAN

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, MALAPPURAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY - 676 505**
- 2. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
MALAPPURAM - 676 505**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. R. RANJITH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER FOR
VARIATION OF PERMIT.**
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE REPORT OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTOR
DATED 2.12.04.**
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED
4.2.15.**
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE REPROT OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTOR
DATED 1.4.15.**

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA to Judge

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.
=====

W.P.(C) No.11150 of 2015 - P
=====

Dated this the 10th day of April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of a variation application. The petitioner contends that despite a favourable report from the Field Officer, the matter was adjourned for a further report, which also was in favour of the variation. It is specifically stated in two reports that, the variation would be beneficial to the public.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits that, the variation application is posted on 20.04.2015. In such circumstance, definitely, the said application shall be taken up and considered on that date itself and the variation issued expeditiously if granted.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge