

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/16TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 11141 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

1. ALLOSHYA K.N., AGED 21 YEARS, S/O.NANDAKUMAR,
KAMATTATHIL HOUSE, KALLETUMKARA.P.O.,
THAZHEKADU, CHALAKKUDY, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-680 683
2. ABHIJITH.P.V., AGED 21 YEARS, S/O.P.V.BALAKRISHNAN,
P.V.HOUSE, KARIMPAM.P.O., THALIPARAMPA,
KANNUR DISTRICT, PIN-670 142.
3. RAHUL.T.J., AGED 21 YEARS, S/O. JYOTHI.T.M.,
THEKKEVELIYIL HOUSE, KALAVOOR.P.O.,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN-688 522

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.B.KRISHNAN
SRI.P.M.NEELAKANDAN
SRI.P.B.SUBRAMANYAN
SRI.SABU GEORGE**

RESPONDENT(S):

1. PRINCIPAL, COCHIN UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING,
KUTTANADU, PULINCUNNOO, ALAPPUZHA, PIN-688 504.
2. VICE CHANCELLOR, COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND
TECHNOLOGY, KALAMASSERRY, ERNAKULAM, PIN-682 022
3. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
KALAMASSERRY, ERNAKULAM, PIN-682 022

BY SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 06-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).NO.11141/2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**P1 COPY OF THE ORDER NO.CUCEK/A1/DISCIPLINE/14-15/1695/2015 DATED
21/3/2015 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.1**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.S.TO JUDGE

sts

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C) No. 11141 of 2015

Dated this the 6th day of April , 2015

JUDGMENT

1. Petitioners are students, who have 50%, 49% and 53% of attendance in the 8th semester of the course, in which they are studying, under the respondent University. Petitioners contend that, their attendance shortage was only due to the suspension effected by Ext.P1, as also the participation Arts and Cultural activities. If the petitioners are desirous of pursuing in Arts and Cultural activities, rather than their studies, then, that is left to them. However, the required percentage of attendance definitely has to be complied with.
2. It is the admitted position that the required attendance percentage is 75% and the petitioners are far below the same. If the petitioners have been suspended, that cannot be reckoned for attendance, since it would totally efface the punishment and put on premium, students who were suspended, from getting attendance

without attending classes. Considering all the above aspects, this Court is not inclined to grant any orders, directing participation of the petitioners in the examination, which would stand against the Regulations of the University. Writ petition would stand dismissed.

Sd/-

K. Vinod Chandran, Judge.

sl.