

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/14TH MAGHA, 1936`

WP(C).No. 13582 of 2013 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

SOUMYA PAUL.P
PEON,
KUNNUKARA RURAL CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD NO.E.1100
KUNNUKARA P.O., KUNNUKARA
RESIDING AT PANNIKULANGARA HOUSE
NORTH ADUVASSERY P.O., SOUTH ADUVASSERY, ALUVA.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.V.BABY
SRI.A.N.SANTHOSH

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT OF KUNNUKARA RURAL CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.E.1100
KUNNUKARA P.O., KUNNUKARA,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 683 524, REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT.
2. KUNNUKARA RURAL CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD NO.E.1100,
KUNNUKARA P.O., KUNNUKARA
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 683 524, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.
3. ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (GENERAL),
NORTH PARAVUR - 683 513.

R1,R2 BY ADV. SRI.A.JAYASANKAR
R1,R2 BY ADV. SRI.MANU GOVIND
R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.T.R.RAJESH.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
03-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 15/04/2013 ISSUED BY
THE PRESIDENT BANK TO THE PETITIONER

EXHIBIT P2: A TRUE PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE EXPLANATION DATED 19/04/2013
ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE PRESIDENT.

EXHIBIT P3: A TRUE PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE MEMO DATED 29/04/2013 ISSUED
BY THE PRESIDENT TO THE PETITIONER

EXHIBIT P4: A TRUE PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 14/05/2013.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.R2(a) COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 20.5.2013 FROM THE SECOND
RESPONDENT TO THE THIRD RESPONDENT.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.13582 of 2013

Dated this the 3rd day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The complaint of the petitioner is that she is not permitted to rejoin duty as Peon after the expiry of the maternity leave on 14.4.2013. The second respondent Bank on the other hand maintains that the petitioner did report for duty on 15.4.2013 and that she is free to resume her job. This submission is recorded. Without prejudice to the right of the petitioner as employee and the right of the second respondent as employer, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.