

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL 2015/11TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 10841 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER:

**SIVARAMAKRISHNAN P.V, AGED 49 YEARS,
S/O. KANNAN P.V., RESIDING AT MADATHIL HOUSE,
ASHOKA ROAD, KALOOR P.O., KOCHI-682 017.**

BY ADV. SRI.C.P.RAVIKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
COCHIN CITY, KOCHI-682 031.**
- 3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALAMASSERY.**
- 4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ELAMAKKARA POLICE STATION,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**
- 5. MOHANKUMAR B., S/O. SIVASANKARAN,
MANU NIVAS, PONNINCHIRA ROAD,
EDAPPALLY, KOCHI-682 024.**
- 6. M/S. TATA MOTORS FINANCERS LIMITED,
CARDEX 1, CHEMBUMUKKU BRANCH, COCOHIN-682 030.**

R1 TO R4 BY SENIOR GOVT. PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 01-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 10841 of 2015 (E)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE PARTNERSHIP DEED DATED 14.12.2012.**
- P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER OF RETIREMENT ISSUED BY ONE OF THE PARTNERS C.V.SAHITHAN ON 10.9.2012.**
- P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 30.3.2015 SUBMITTED BEFORE 3RD RESPONDENT WITH COPIES TO RESPONDENTS 2 AND 4.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: - **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ
& A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.C.No.10841 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of April 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique,J

Petitioner has approached this Court seeking police protection alleging that the 5th respondent, along with some goondas, have forcibly entered into his property and threatened his wife in his absence and harassed them by demanding huge amounts. It is stated that there was some business partnership with the 5th respondent and later the firm was dissolved as per deed of dissolution dated 19/08/2013. Despite the fact that the entire issue has been settled, still the petitioner is being threatened by demanding more amounts. Though Ext.P3 complaint was given to the police seeking police protection, no action has been taken in the matter.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

3. We do not think that necessary particulars are available in Ext.P3 for the police to initiate appropriate action. However, If any offence is committed or there is any attempt to commit offence, it is always open for the petitioner to file necessary complaint before the police who shall look into the matter and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

This writ petition is disposed of as above.

(sd/-)

(ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE)

(sd/-)

(A.M.SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE)

jsr

