

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/5TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 14680 of 2010 (H)

PETITIONER(S):

**BIBIN P.KURIAN, AGED 22 YEARS,
S/O.MR.K.M.KURIAN, PADINJAREMANALEL,
AMAYANNUR, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT,
VIII SEMESTER STUDENT, B.TECH (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING),
MAR BASELIOS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING,
PALLIKUNNU. P.O., PEERMADE-665 531.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.K.JOHN,
SRI.BENNY CHERIAN.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
REPRESENTED BY THE REGISTRAR,
MANNANAM, KOTTAYAM.**
- 2. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
MANNANAM, KOTTAYAM.**
- 3. THE PRINCIPAL, MAR BASELIUS CHRISTIAN
COLLEGE OF ENGINEER & TECHNOLOGY,
PULIKKUNNU. P.O., PEERMADE-685 531.**

**R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI.VARUGHESE M.EASO, SC.
R3 BY ADV. SRI.ANIL D.KARTHA.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 24-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 14680 of 2010 (H)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

**EXT.P1 COPY OF THE HALL TICKET FOR V SEMESTER B.TECH DEGREE
EXAMINATION NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 2009 ISSUED BY THE
RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

W.P(C) No.14680 of 2010-H

Dated this the 24th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner was before this Court alleging that the petitioner's hall ticket for 8th semester B.Tech Degree examination which was to start on 13.05.2010 was illegally withheld. The petitioner was granted an interim order, where the petitioner was directed to be admitted to the 8th Semester examination provisionally.

2. The University has filed a counter affidavit, in which it has been stated that the petitioner's involvement in a malpractice, was pending enquiry and it was hence that the petitioner's hall ticket was withheld. It is also submitted that the petitioner was permitted to appear for the examination as per the interim order.

3. An enquiry is said to have been initiated, with a Syndicate member appointed as the Enquiry Commission. Definitely the findings in the enquiry and the punishment, if any, imposed by the University would regulate the publication of the

results. In such circumstance, the publication of the results in which the petitioner appeared provisionally, as per the interim orders of this Court, would depend upon the result of the enquiry conducted against the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
K. Vinod Chandran,
Judge

vkul

[true copy]