

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL 2015/11TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 10739 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER:

**V.S.C.HOLLOW BLOCKS AND CRUSHERS,
NEMOM.P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER
V.SUDHAKARAN.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS
SRI.PAUL JACOB (P)
SRI.ENOCH DAVID SIMON JOEL
SRI.S.SREEDEV
SRI.RONY JOSE
SRI.GEORGE A.CHERIAN**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. KERALA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
DISTRICT OFFICE, PATTOM.P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695004
REPRESENTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER.**
- 2. ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER,
KERALA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
DISTRICT OFFICE, PATTOM.P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695004.**

BY SRI. M.AJAY, SC,

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 01-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 10739 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

**EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE CONSENT DTD.05/09/2012 ISSUED BY THE
 1ST RESPONDENT WHICH IS VALID TILL 31/03/2015 FOR OPERATING
 THE CRUSHER UNIT.**

**EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD.02/03/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
 PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

EXT.P2(A): TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: - NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

mbr/

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 10739 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of April, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

i. Issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ order or direction, directing the 2nd respondent to grant renewal of Ext.P1 consent to the petitioner as applied vide Ext.P2.

ii. Issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ order or direction, directing the 2nd respondent to dispose off Ext.P2 application for the renewal of Ext.P1 consent submitted by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within 2 weeks.

iii. Issue such other appropriate writ order or direction that may be deemed to be just and equitable in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already moved the 2nd respondent by filing Ext.P2 so as to have the grievance redressed and the prayer is to cause the same to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

3. Heard the learned Standing Counsel for the Pollution Control Board as well.

4. In view of the limited nature of relief sought for, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P2 in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at the earliest, at any rate, within 'one month' from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the 2nd respondent for further steps.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-