

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

TUESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF MARCH 2015/10TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 10297 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S):

**R. RAMESH, PROPRIETOR,
M/S.SREE VISHNU POLYMERS,
R.V.P. PUDUR, KOZHINJAMPARA,
CHITTUR, PALAKKAD.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.N.MURALEEDHARAN NAIR,
SRI.V.K.SHAMUSUDHEEN.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE COMMERCIAL TAX OFFICER,
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIAL TAXES,
CHITTUR, PALAKKAD-678 104.**
- 2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER (APPEALS),
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIAL TAXES,
PALAKKAD-678 001.**
- 3. THE INSPECTING ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIAL TAXES,
CHITTUR, PALAKKAD-678 104.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.SOBHA ANNAMMA EAPEN.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 31-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT ORDER PASSED BY 1ST RESPONDENT
FOR THE YEAR 2013-2014 DATED 16/09/2014.
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE
2ND RESPONDENT DATED 23/11/2014.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE STAY PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE
THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 23/11/2014.
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE UNDER SECTION 7 OF THE
REVENUE RECOVERY ACT ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT
DATED 18/03/2015.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

PA. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

.....
W.P.(C) No.10297 of 2015 (J)

.....
Dated this the 31st day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is an assessee under the Kerala Value Added Tax Act, 2003. Against Ext.P1 assessment order for the assessment year 2013 - 2014, the petitioner preferred Ext.P2 appeal along with Ext.P3 stay petition before the 2nd respondent. It is the case of the petitioner that even before the consideration of the stay petition by the 2nd respondent, recovery steps have been initiated against him through Ext.P4 demand notice, for recovery of the amounts confirmed in the assessment order.

2. I have heard Sri.N.Muraleedharan Nair, the learned counsel appearing for petitioner and Smt.Sobha Annamma Eappen, learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made across the Bar, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3 stay petition, preferred by the petitioner before him, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after hearing the petitioner. The order to be passed by the 2nd respondent shall contain reasons for the decision arrived at by him.

Recovery steps initiated through Ext.P4 demand notice shall be kept in abeyance till such time as the 2nd respondent passes orders, as directed, in Ext.P3 stay petition, and communicates the same to the petitioner.

Sd/-
A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

AMV/01/04/