IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

MONDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/9TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No.10294 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER:

M/S.STAR BAY BUILDERS & REALTORS PVT. LTD.
5/846 (9),M.P.COMPLEX,PUTHUR ROAD,KOPPAM,
PALAKKAD,REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR P.VASANTHA KUMAR.

BY ADVS.SRI.N.MURALEEDHARAN NAIR
SRI.V.K.SHAMUSUDHEEN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE COMMERCIAL TAX OFFICER (WC),
PALAKKAD-678001.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER (APPEALS),
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIAL TAXES,
PALAKKAD-678001.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.SOBHA ANNAMMA EAPEN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

pk

W.P(C) NO.10294/2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1:TRUE COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT ORDER PASSED BY 1ST RESPONDENT FOR THE YEAR 2010-2011 DATED 4.10.2014.

EXT.P2:TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 11.12.2014.

EXT.P3:TRUE COPY OF THE STAY PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 11.12.2014.

EXT.P4:TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 5.3.2015.

EXT.P5:TRUE COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE IN FORM NO.12 ISSUED BY 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 4.10.2014.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

W.P.(C).NO.10294 OF 2015 (J)

Dated this the 30th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is an assessee under the Kerala Value Added Tax Act, 2003 on the files of the 1st respondent. Against Ext.P1 assessment order, petitioner had preferred Ext.P2 appeal and Ext.P3 stay petition before the 2nd respondent. The 2nd respondent has now passed Ext.P4 order on the stay petition directing the petitioner to pay 30% of the amount as a condition for the grant of stay against recovery of the balance amounts confirmed against the petitioner vide Ext.P1assessment order.

2. In the writ petition, the petitioner impugns the said conditional order of stay, inter alia, on the ground that the 2nd respondent had not exercised his discretion validly while passing the said order.

3. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made across the bar, I dispose the writ petition with the following directions:-

(i) In Ext.P4 order, the 2nd respondent does not state reasons as to why the petitioner was required to deposit the amounts as a condition for the gr\ant of stay. This Court has held in **Archana Agencies v. Commercial Tax Officer - [2014 (2) KLT 715]** that an authority considering a stay petition is bound to give reasons even while granting conditional stay.

(ii) Ext.P4 order is quashed and the 2nd respondent is directed to reconsider the matter and pass fresh orders in the stay petition filed by the petitioner, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

(iii) Recovery steps, initiated against the petitioner shall be kept in abeyance till such time as fresh orders are passed by the 2nd respondent, as directed above, and communicated to the petitioner.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

prp