

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF MARCH 2015/12TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 23101 of 2004 (A)

PETITIONER(S):

1. **CHANDRALEKHA.A., (PRESENTLY CHANGED
NAME AS NESIYA HASSAN) RESIDING AT ATTUPURATHU
HOUSE, UDUMBANNOOR VILLAGE, THODUPUZA.**
2. **MINI.K.V., KALLATTU VALAPPIL,
R.V.PURAM, ADIYARA, THRISSUR DISTRICT.**
3. **AJITHA.I.T., RESIDING AT SARANGA,
T.C. 42/466-3, MUKKOLACKAL, SREEVARSHAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
4. **P.R.BINDHU, PARAMBIL HOUSE,
PANTHALLOOR, NELLAYI, THRISSUR.**
5. **SINDHU.A., SOWPARNIKA, MULLAKKARA,
MANNUTHY, THRISSUR.**

BY ADV. SRI.T.I.ABDUL SALAM

RESPONDENT(S):

1. **SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY OF
SANSKRIT, KALADY, REPRESENTED BY ITS
REGISTRAR.**
2. **UNION OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF HUMAN
RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, NEW DELHI
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**
3. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY, HIGHER EDUCATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
4. **CENTRAL COUNCIL OF INDIAN MEDICINE,
INSTITUTIONAL AREA, JANAKPURI, NEW DELHI-110 058
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**

PJ

...2/-

WP(C).No. 23101 of 2004 (A)

**5. UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION,
BHAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG, NEW DELHI-110 002
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN.**

**6. THE PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**

**R1 BY ADV. SRI.ARUN B.VARGHESE,SC
R2 & 5 BY ADV. SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASG**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03-03-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

=====

W.P.(C)No.23101 of 2004

=====

Dated this the 3rd day of March, 2015.

JUDGMENT

1. Petitioners are all graduates in Ayurveda, having obtained their degree from the Calicut University and Kerala University. The certificates are produced as Exts.P1 to P1(d). Petitioners are aggrieved with the respondent University, having not granted a certificate of the course, Master of Pharmaceutical Science in Ayurveda, to which they were admitted in 1996.
2. Admittedly, the respondent University, was not able to obtain recognition from respondents 4 and 6. The University Grants Commission also has refused to consider the claim of affiliation, on the ground that, such a course is not prescribed by the UGC. The respondent University, hence discontinued the course. Petitioners are persons, who got themselves admitted and completed the course.
3. Petitioners, in the writ petition of the year 2004, seek a direction to

the first respondent to take steps to obtain recognition to the Master of Pharmaceutical Science course. At this point of time, nothing could be done, since the course itself has been discontinued. Writ petition is, hence, dismissed as infructuous.

K. Vinod Chandran, Judge.

sl.