

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

FRIDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/6TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 9887 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

M/S.SOUTHERN GRANITE INDUSTRIES,
MOOKKUNNIMALA, EDACODE,
NEMOM P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER, K.J. THOMASKUTTY.

BY ADVS.SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS
SRI.ENOCH DAVID SIMON JOEL
SRI.RONY JOSE

RESPONDENTS:

1. PALlichal GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
VEDIVACHANKOVIL P.O.,
BALARAMAPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 501,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. SECRETARY,
PALlichal GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
VEDIVACHANKOVIL P.O.,
BALARAMAPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 501.

R BY SRI.T.K.ANANDA KRISHNAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
27-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 9887 of 2015 (I)

: 2 :

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P1(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 16.02.2015 ISSUED
BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P. (C) No. 9887 of 2015 (I)

Dated this the 27th day of March, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayath, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. Briefly stated, the petitioner, desirous of constructing a crusher unit, submitted Ext.P1 application to the respondent Grama Panchayath for building permit. Complaining that the Grama Panchayath has so far not considered the petitioner's application for building permit, it has filed the present writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayath, on instructions, has submitted that the respondent Grama Panchayath is willing to consider the petitioner's application expeditiously.

Be that as it may, in the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayath, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, disposes of the writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's Ext.P1 application for grant of building permit in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

sd/- DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, JUDGE.

