

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/20TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 13717 of 2010 (L)

PETITIONER:

**NANDINI R. NAIR, SASTHA NILAYAM,
KMC 15/426, KALAKSHETRAM, PALACE ROAD
KOTTAYAM-1.**

BY ADV. SRI.SURIN GEORGE IPE

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR, AKSHAYA MISSION,
AKSHAYA STATE PROJECT OFFICE, HILL VIEW, VELLAYAMBALAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM. 695 010**
- 3. DISTRICT, PLANNING OFFICER AND
CO-ORDINATOR, AKSHAYA DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE
OZHATHIL BUILDING, NR.RAILWAY STATION, KOTTAYAM. 686 002**
- 4. KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY, REP. BY
ITS SECRETARY, KOTTAYAM-1.**
- 5. REKHA R., SANKARAMANGALAM,
NAGAMPADOM, KOTTAYAM. 686 006**

**R4 BY ADVS. SRI.SIBY MATHEW
SRI.PHILIP J.VETTICKATTU
SRI.B.PREMNATH (E)**

R1 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. MANOJ P. KUNJACHAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 11-08-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1 TRUE COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT BY ORDER DATED 31.07.2007 VIDE GO
(MS) NO.17/2007/ITD
- P2 TRUE COPY OF THE EMAIL DATED 16.1.2009
- P3 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER FROM THE WARD COUNCILLOR OF THE
KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY DATED 7.3.2009
- P4 & P4(A) TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 30.9.2009 AND THE ENVELOPE
EVIDENCING THE RECEIPT ON 22.10.2009
- P5 TRUE COPY OF THE EMAIL DATED 29.10.2009
- P6 TRUE COPY OF THE EMAIL DATED 28.1.2010
- P7 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE 4TH RESPONDENT ALONG
WITH ITS RECEIPT DATED 6.2.2010
- P8 APPLICATION UNDER THE RTI DATED 10.3.2010
- P9 REPLY FURNISHED UNDER RTI ACTED 8.4.2010

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 13717 of 2010 (L)

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the fact that the Akshaya e-centre allotted to the petitioner to be operated at Nagambadom, was cancelled despite the petitioner having made preparation to start the same.

2. The cancellation order is seen at Ext.P4. Ext.P4 indicates that the cancellation was made since the petitioner did not comply with the conditions of the grant and did not commence the operations within 30 days as provided in the grant.

In such circumstance, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the cancellation, especially at this stage, after five years. Writ petition would stand dismissed.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE