

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/16TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).NO. 9836 OF 2015 (D)

PETITIONERS:

1. JEEVANLAL V.M., AGED 29 YEARS,
S/O.M.K.VIJAYAN, MALAYIL HOUSE, THETTAMALA POST
VELLAMUNDA VIA, WAYANAD - 670 731.
2. SARATH SASI, AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.C.K.SASHIDHARAN, SARATH NIVAS, PALLIKKARA
NILESHWAR, KASARGOD - 671 314.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.G.SURESH
SRI.G.SUDHEER (THURAVOOR)
SRI.RAJAN VISHNURAJ
SRI.V.HARISH

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE UNIVERSITY OF KANNUR,
REPRESENTED BY THE VICE CHANCELLOR, TAWAKKARA
KANNUR - 670 567.
2. THE REGISTRAR,
UNIVERSITY OF KANNUR, TAWAKKARA, KANNUR - 670 002.
3. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION,
UNIVERSITY OF KANNUR, TAWAKKARA, KANNUR - 670 002.

BY SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED, SC, KANNUR UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
06-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

AS

WP(C).NO. 9836 OF 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

- EXHIBIT P1: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DECLARING
EXAMINATION BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**
- EXHIBIT P2: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE TIME TABLE PUBLISHED BY THE
3RD RESPONDENT.**
- EXHIBIT P3: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE HALL TICKET ISSUED TO THE
1ST PETITIONER.**
- EXHIBIT P4: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE HALL TICKET ISSUED TO THE
2ND PETITIONER.**
- EXHIBIT P5: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE REPRESENTATION PREFERRED
BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

AS

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

=====

W.P.(C)No.9836 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 6th day of April, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Petitioners are post-graduate students in Engineering, who have appeared for the 2nd semester supplementary examination on 6.3.2015. Petitioners seek expeditious valuation of the same.

Learned Standing Counsel for the University submits that, the post-graduate examinations scheduled are going on and the regular examinations too have not been concluded. The examinations would conclude only by the last week of May, 2015. Only thereafter, the valuation would be commenced, especially since, the University conducts centralised valuation. In such circumstance, the petitioners cannot seek any valuation out of turn, since the petitioners have appeared for regular examinations in the 2nd semester and failed and have now appeared for the supplementary examination. Writ petition would stand dismissed.

K. Vinod Chandran, Judge.