

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF MARCH 2015/10TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 9830 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

CIVET .S., AGED 25 YEARS
THITTAYIL HOUSE, KADAKKAVOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.R.SASITH

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:- :

1. UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, PALAYAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.-695001
2. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION,
UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, PALAYAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695001

BY SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, SC, UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 31-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 9830 of 2015 (C)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE 6TH SEMESTER MARK LIST PUBLISHED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE REVALUATION RESULT PUBLISHED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR 2ND REVALUATION BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

//True Copy//

P.S. To Judge

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P.(C).No. 9830 of 2015

Dated 31st March, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner seeks for a second revaluation since she failed to qualify in the examinations conducted to the LLB Course in which she is studying. The learned Standing Counsel for the University submits that there is no provision for second revaluation and the issue is squarely covered by the decision reported in **Sruthi Rajagopal v. Mahatma Gandhi University (2014 (2) KLT 945)**.

In the above circumstances, nothing further survives to be considered in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN
Judge

Mrcs

//True Copy//